Reference No. 11178001



September 17, 2019

Mr. Darren Fry Project Director – Southwestern Landfill Walker Environmental 160 Carnegie Street Ingersoll, Ontario N5C 4A8

Dear Mr. Fry:

Re: Greenhouse Gas Quantification Report for the Southwestern Landfill Environmental Assessment

GHD is pleased to present this Greenhouse Gas Quantification Report (Report) to Walker Environmental (Walker) for the Southwestern Landfill Environmental Assessment (EA) being developed for submission to the Ministry of Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP). The purpose of the Report is to evaluate the greenhouse gas (GHG) impacts of the Proposed Southwestern Landfill (SWLF) and to satisfy a component of *Minister's Amendment #14 of the Notice of Approval – Terms of Reference* by assessing how the "preferred project" may contribute to greenhouse gas emissions.

The GHG impact of the proposed SWLF is assessed against the Baseline Scenario of Ontario's current landfill disposal processes for municipal solid waste generated in Ontario. The GHG emissions will be assessed over a 50-year gas generation period of the SWLF (2024 to 2074), with the operational phase of the landfill being approximately 20 years (2024 to 2044).

The intent of this Report is to provide a planning level assessment of the advantages and disadvantages associated with GHG emissions attributable to the proposed project in accordance with the *Environmental Assessment Act* and the Approved Amended Terms of Reference for the SWLF.

1. Project Background

GHD understands the EA is being conducted for submission to the MECP to assess the potential impacts of the SWLF located in the Township of Zorra, Ontario. Based on the *State of Waste in Ontario: Landfill Report*¹ developed by the Ontario Waste Management Association as well as the *Report of Solid Waste Landfilled in Michigan (2018)*², developed by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Ontario currently exports approximately 3.5 million tonnes per year of waste to the United States. These tonnes are primarily handled in Michigan state with a small amount handled in New York state. For the purposes of this GHG assessment, it is assumed that Ontario's waste exports that would be captured by the SWLF



State of Waste in Ontario: Landfill Report, 2nd Annual Landfill Report, Ontario Waste Management Association, December 2018, https://www.owma.org/articles/2019-owma-landfill-report

² Report of Solid Waste Landfilled in Michigan, Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, January 31, 2019, https://www.michigan.gov/documents/deq/SolidWasteAnnualReport_-_Fiscal_Year_2018_FINAL_645359_7.pdf



are primarily disposed of at three (3) large landfills in Michigan State, located near the city of Detroit as listed below:

- 1. Carleton Farms Landfill
- 2. Pine Tree Acres Landfill
- 3. Brent Run Landfill

GHD understands that each of the above noted landfills has landfill gas (LFG) collection systems in place, where the LFG is utilized for electricity generation (excluding cogeneration)³.

It is assumed that the total volume of municipal solid, non-hazardous waste diverted from the Michigan landfills to the SWLF would be 850,000 tonnes per year, based on the proposed SWLF facility. The total anticipated fill rate for the SWLF is 1.1 million tonnes per year, which includes the municipal waste, and up to 250,000 tonnes per year of waste soils used for daily cover. GHD has assumed, for the purposes of this Report, as a Baseline Scenario, that the waste diverted to the SWLF from southern and southwestern Ontario is disposed of evenly between the three existing Michigan landfills. GHD understands that the waste soil used for daily cover is currently managed within Ontario, and therefore this GHG assessment only considers the impact associated with the solid, non-hazardous waste.

Provided in this Report is an assessment of GHG emissions associated with the Baseline Scenario (waste disposal in Michigan) and Project Scenario (waste disposed in the SWLF). Three Project Scenarios are considered in this report, as detailed in Section 3.3. In addition to the details provided in this Report, GHD has developed an Excel Spreadsheet (provided as Attachment A to this Report) that summarizes the GHG quantification along with outlining the calculations and assumptions used to quantify the GHG emissions resulting from the Baseline and Project Scenarios.

2. Definition of Terms

This section provides a summary of common terms that have been used throughout this Report and provides definition, context, and explanation of their importance. The terms used below are not linked specifically to any GHG program or jurisdiction, rather to maintain consistency with the way GHG emissions are assessed for this Report. In some cases, there are specific assumptions that are applicable to the below terms; these assumptions have been further defined in Section 3.1.

Baseline Scenario – Scenario that includes GHG emissions associated with the current transporting and disposing of waste in Michigan in the absence of the SWLF.

Capacity Factor – This term is related to LFG utilization such as LFG combustion in an engine to produce electricity and combustion in a lime kiln (Project Scenarios #2 and #3). The capacity factor is defined as the volume of LFG utilized per unit of time divided by the collection volume per unit of time. The maximum

³ Landfill Methane Outreach Program (LMOP) – Landfill Technical Data, Environmental Protection Agency, February 2019, https://www.epa.gov/lmop/landfill-technical-data (Landfill and project level data (February 2019)



capacity of a LFG utilization system is lower than the maximum collection capacity of the site so that the utilization system is not over-sized. LFG utilization systems cannot operate at low LFG flow rates that exist in the periods shortly after the waste is placed and as the generation rates decrease over time. As a result, a capacity factor of 85% is applied to the maximum potential LFG collection volume to determine the approximate capacity of the LFG utilization system. During initial LFG collection periods, peak collection periods, and as the collection decreases over time it has been assumed that the flare would combust the low and excess LFG volumes that the utilization system is not capable of combusting, due to the upper and lower limits of the utilization system capacity.

Emission Reductions – The GHG emissions that would be reduced or avoided if the respective Project Scenarios were to be implemented and represents the total GHG emission difference between the Baseline and Project Scenarios and reduced emissions from transportation vehicles due to shorter hauling distances.

Fugitive Emissions – GHG emissions that are not captured or escape through the landfill cover. These emissions are based on the collection efficiency of the landfill gas collection systems.

GHG Emission Timeline – GHG emissions in this Report are assessed over a 50-year timeline from 2024 through 2074. This timeline represents the period of the most significant gas generation associated with the SWLF and the baseline landfills. For consistency and an accurate comparison, this timeline applies to both the Baseline and Project Scenarios.

GHG Quantification Model – The GHG Quantification Model is model/calculation spreadsheet developed by GHD and used to calculate GHG emissions from each of the major emission sources identified within the Baseline and Project scenarios. The GHG Quantification Model also summarizes the emission reduction potential for each Project Scenario compared to the Baseline Scenario.

Global Warming Potential (GWP)⁴ – A value that is applied to specific GHG compounds that represents how much heat the compound traps in the atmosphere (relative to carbon dioxide). The following global warming potentials are applicable to this GHG assessment:

- Carbon dioxide GWP = 1
- Methane GWP = 25
- Nitrous oxide GWP = 298

Grid Emission Factor – Represents the GHG carbon intensity of the electricity grid and is reflective of how electricity is generated within each geographical grid (i.e. predominantly through renewable sources, fossil fuel sources, or a mix of both). The units are conveyed in tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per megawatt hour (tCO₂e/MWh).

⁴ Chapter 2 – Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and Radiative Forcing, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2007, https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ar4-wg1-chapter2-1.pdf



LFG – Landfill gas. Landfill gas typically contains the following compounds at the following approximate concentrations; methane at 50 percent by volume (% v/v), carbon dioxide at 45% v/v, and balance gases (mainly nitrogen) at 5% v/v.

LFG Tool – The LFG Tool uses the Scholl-Canyon Model to forecast GHG emissions associated with the production of LFG at a landfill site. The LFG Tool is an accepted model that was developed by GHD for the Ontario Waste Management Association for distribution to its members.⁵ The LFG Tool was used in this GHG assessment to forecast the upper and lower limit of the GHG emissions generated from the SWLF and Michigan landfill sites.

Methane Destruction Emissions – Methane is the predominant compound in LFG (representing on average 50 percent by volume). Methane Destruction Emissions represent the GHG emissions that are displaced through the combustion of LFG. Other predominant compounds in LFG (carbon dioxide and balance gases; mainly nitrogen) do not provide a net GHG emission benefit when combusted, therefore they are not quantified.

Point of Origin – This term refers to the assumed location where the waste originates for the purpose of calculating the transportation emissions. For the purpose of this Report, the Point of Origin has been established as the approximate intersection of the 401, 427, and 400 series of highways in southern Ontario.

Project Scenarios – Scenarios quantifying the GHG emissions of the potential LFG management options for the proposed SWLF. The three Project Scenarios are detailed in Section 3.3.

SCADA – Supervisory control and data acquisition. In this Report it applies to the software system that is used to monitor and control a LFG collection system.

SWLF - Proposed Southwestern Landfill.

SWLF Capacity – Based on the proposed design details of the SWLF, the site will accept 850,000 tonnes of waste and up to 250,000 tonnes of daily cover material per year for 20 years (2024 to 2044). This provides direct input for the waste emissions calculated in the LFG Tool.

tCO₂e – Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent. Represents the standardized units of GHG emissions used in the GHG industry and as the standardized units across the globe.

Transportation Emissions – GHG emissions associated with the transportation of waste in long-haul transportation vehicles.

Waste – Represents the total solid, non-hazardous waste that is disposed of at the landfills in both the Baseline and Project Scenarios.

⁵ The LFG Tool uses the basis of the Scholl-Canyon Model but provides a more simplified interface and access to a wide variety of default values and factors that can be applied.



3. Quantification Methodology

GHD defined Baseline and Project Scenarios to quantify the GHG emissions associated with the current practice of transporting and disposing of waste in Michigan (Baseline Scenario) and transporting and disposing waste at the SWLF, with different potential LFG management options (Project Scenarios). The GHG quantification of the Baseline Scenario with comparison to each Project Scenario will demonstrate the GHG emissions, positive or negative, associated with the Project.

A focused set of assumptions have been established to ensure that an accurate comparison can be made between the Baseline and Project Scenarios. GHD has taken a conservative approach related to the assumptions and associated quantification of GHG emissions. GHD has utilized emission factors and default values that are believed to be the most conservative and representative of actual and proposed conditions for the Baseline and Project Scenarios, respectively.

3.1 Baseline and Project Scenarios

The Baseline and Project Scenarios are quantified to provide an estimation of the GHG emissions associated with each scenario. Provided below is a summary of the GHG emission types that are quantified and compared for both the Baseline and Project Scenarios:

- Transportation emissions
- · Emissions from the anaerobic decomposition of waste
- Emissions from the utilization of LFG
- Electricity consumption emissions

Figure 1 provides a summary of the above noted GHG emissions included within each scenario. Beyond the above noted list of GHG emission types, it is assumed that there will be additional types of GHG emissions that will be equivalent or negligible across both the Baseline and Project Scenarios:

- Emissions from the operation of equipment (equivalent)
- Emissions related to leachate treatment (equivalent)

The magnitude of the above noted emissions are not anticipated to change significantly from the Baseline to the Project Scenarios.

The Baseline and Project Scenarios both involve assumptions and estimates, therefore detailed quantification or assertion of the exact GHG emissions from each scenario cannot be provided, rather a magnitude estimation of GHG emissions for comparison purposes and to accompany the EA.

Baseline and Project Scenario Assumptions

Conservative assumptions were established to ensure accurate comparison of the Baseline and Project Scenarios. In addition to being conservative, GHD also aimed to ensure that each assumption was as



representative as possible of the current and forecasted conditions. Provided below is a breakdown of some of the main assumptions made that apply to both the Baseline and Project Scenarios:

- Annual inflation Some emission factors, default values, and global warming potentials used in the calculations may change over time, however it is impossible to predict what these changes will be. Therefore, it is assumed for comparison purposes that the current values applied for the emission factors and default values will remain constant over the GHG Emission Timeline.
- Electricity consumption Each landfill site is anticipated to consume electricity at the same rate, approximately 8,760 MWh per year based on an estimated 24 MWh per day of consumption. This electricity consumption information was provided by Walker and is based on their first-hand experience operating similar sized landfills. Electricity is consumed at landfills for a variety of operations, including leachate/condensate pumping, blowers for the LFG collection system, SCADA system operation, scales, and for administrative and operations buildings.
- Emissions from Site Operations Landfills require various types of equipment to ensure the waste disposed at each site is placed appropriately and compacted. Tippers are used at landfill sites to unload the waste from tippable trailers and heavy-duty compactors and bulldozers are used to place and compact the waste. Additional equipment is also required for the application of daily cover material (i.e. soil) in addition to excavators, rollers, soil compactors, and bulldozers. Walker provided an estimate of the GHG footprint for the site operations at their Niagara landfill site, which was used by GHD in the GHG Quantification Model. It is assumed the same equipment would be required at the SWLF and is being utilized to manage an equivalent amount of waste at the three Michigan landfill sites. To be conservative, GHD has assumed that the emissions from site operations at the SWLF would be the same as the combined emissions from the site operations at the three Michigan landfill sites, to manage the same amount of waste. It has also been assumed that the emissions associated with site operations only apply to the period of time where waste is actively being filled (2024 2044) and therefore have not been calculated after waste filling is complete.
- Landfill Daily Cover It is assumed that the daily cover for the landfills in the Baseline and Project Scenarios will consist of 250,000 tonnes per year of non-hazardous waste soil material. This material is assumed to not have any significant GHG emissions. It was assumed that the material that is used for landfill daily cover at the Michigan sites come from within an 80 kilometer (km) radius, and that the transportation emissions would be equivalent to the transportation emissions of bringing daily cover to the SWLF.
- Point of Origin For the purposes of this GHG assessment, this location is assumed as central to
 where waste in southern and southwestern Ontario is generated. This location then serves as the
 reference point for calculating the distance and subsequent GHG emissions associated with the
 transportation of the solid non-hazardous portion of the waste. It is assumed that the Point of Origin is
 the same in the Baseline and Project Scenario assumptions and that waste is transported in similar
 long-haul waste vehicles from the Point of Origin to the Michigan landfills and the SWLF.



- Short-haul Waste Collection Vehicles It is recognized that a small portion of waste generated in the local communities near the SWLF would be collected by short-haul (i.e. front loaders collecting waste from bins at local businesses) collection trucks that would transport waste directly to the SWLF. The local collection trucks would be less efficient (i.e. carrying less payload and on local roads) than the long-haul trucks but would be travelling much shorter distances and carrying much less waste. As a result, it is assumed that the small percentage of local waste being managed by short-haul vehicles would not materially affect the overall results of the transportation emissions. The emissions associated with short-haul waste collection vehicles has therefore not been included in this GHG assessment.
- Transportation Fuel For the purposes of this Report the long-haul waste transportation vehicles are assumed to only use diesel fuel as this is industry standard. Therefore, the emissions factors and fuel consumption applied to calculate the transportation emissions only apply to the use of diesel fuel.
- Waste Composition It is assumed that the waste composition disposed in the Baseline and the Project Scenarios would be the same. This assumption aids in comparing the landfill waste related emissions from each of the landfill sites in each scenario. It has also been assumed that the waste composition consists of a 70 (by weight) percent putrescible fraction. This assumption was developed based on a waste composition audit Walker conducted in 2012 of waste received at the South Landfill in Niagara. One of the actions in the MECP's A Made-in-Ontario Environment Plan states "Develop a proposal to ban food waste from landfill and consult with key partners such as municipalities, businesses and the waste industry". However, until any new organics policy is in place, the current waste composition data will be used for the purposes of this Report.
- Waste Tonnage It is assumed that the waste tonnage (850,000 tonnes per year) will not change in the Baseline and Project Scenarios. In addition, it is assumed that the waste tonnage will not change from year-to-year through the established 20 year waste disposal timeframe (2024 to 2044)
- Waste Haulage Truck Capacity and Fuel Efficiency The average capacity of long-haul waste trucks is assumed to be 32 tonnes of waste based on Walker's direct experience with waste hauling. GHD notes that the maximum net weight for waste hauling is 39 tonnes⁶. Walker tracks the fuel efficiency of their long-haul waste transportation vehicles and identified that the average fuel consumption of their vehicles is 0.55 liters (L) of diesel fuel per km which is in-line with waste industry standards.

The stated assumptions allow the Report to be focused on conservative values that are also representative of the current and proposed conditions. In addition, the application of the assumptions for both the Baseline and Project Scenario aid in providing a more accurate comparison. Additional assumptions are defined for the Baseline and Project Scenarios individually.

⁶ Ontario Regulation 413/05 – Vehicle Weights and Dimensions – For Safe, Productive, and Infrastructure-Friendly Vehicles, Table 3, https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/050413



3.2 Baseline Scenario

The Baseline Scenario consists of the transport of waste from the Point of Origin to the three (3) major landfill sites located in Michigan for disposal. For comparison purposes, GHD only analyzed the amount of waste slated for disposal at the SWLF (i.e. 850,000 tonnes) and did not include the emissions from the existing waste-in-place at the sites or is received at the sites from other sources.

Baseline Assumptions

In addition to the assumptions that have been applied to both the Baseline and Project Scenarios, the following additional assumptions apply exclusively to the Baseline Scenario:

- Existing waste-in-place not included To create an accurate comparison between the Baseline and Project Scenarios, existing waste currently disposed at the Michigan landfill sites would artificially inflate the emissions from the Michigan sites. Therefore, to be conservative, and provide an accurate comparison, it was established that calculating GHG emissions from existing waste-in-place at the three Michigan landfills is not conservative or appropriate.
- Landfill capacity The remaining fill capacities of the three Michigan landfills have not been factored into the GHG assessment for the Baseline Scenario in order to provide an accurate comparison of emissions related to the SWLF waste capacity of 20 years. It is assumed that in the Baseline Scenario, waste would continue to go to the Michigan landfills for the 20-year timeframe regardless of the remaining waste capacity at the sites. It is assumed that if the Michigan sites were to reach capacity within the 20-year timeframe of comparison for waste disposal, the sites would be expanded to accommodate the additional waste.
- LFG Tool There were a number of assumptions and default factors applied through the use of the LFG Tool. These assumptions and default factors are detailed in Attachment B.
- LFG Tool Modelling It was assumed that each of the three Michigan landfills could be modelled with the same characteristics as they are located in the same general geographical area, the tonnage is the same, and GHD applied an average LFG collection efficiency (53%)⁷ based on available data.
- Waste tonnage The total annual anticipated waste tonnage (850,000 tonnes) for the SWLF was evenly divided across each of the three Michigan landfill sites (i.e. 283,333 tonnes per site per year each)

⁷ Landfill Technical Data, Landfill Methane Outreach Program (LMOP), US EPA, February 2019; https://www.epa.gov/Imop/landfill-technical-data



Baseline Quantification

Emissions quantified for the Baseline Scenario include the following:

- Transportation Emissions:
 - Transportation of the waste from the Point of Origin to the landfill sites in Michigan, average distance of 382 km one-way.
 - Emissions have been calculated for two-way/roundtrip travel (764 km total), as there are only very rare conditions where a long-haul waste transportation vehicle would not perform the return travel distance.
- Emissions from anaerobic decomposition of waste:
 - This includes analysis of both Fugitive and Methane Destruction Emissions from the destruction of methane based on the documented collection efficiency of the Michigan landfills.
 - GHD used the LFG Tool to develop a combined emissions profile that was used for analyzing the emissions from the three Michigan sites.
 - GHD used site-specific data from each landfill, where available, to improve the accuracy of the results from the LFG Tool. Specifically, GHD applied LFG collection efficiency values and published utilization infrastructure destruction efficiencies to more accurately evaluate emissions from the three Michigan sites⁸. Where site-specific data was not available, GHD applied modelled or assumed values that were as representative as possible. Refer to Attachment B for further details regarding the LFG Tool inputs and associated references.
- Emissions associated with the production of renewable electricity to be supplied to the local grid:
 - The Michigan sites each have LFG collection systems where collected LFG is utilized to generate electricity.
 - The eGrid emission factor for Michigan (0.58 tCO₂e/MWh) was applied to determine the baseline benefit of providing renewable electricity to the grid in Michigan.
 - GHD applied the Capacity Factor of 85% to flow of LFG to the utilization engines. The Capacity Factor accounts for the upper and lower bounds of LFG flows that cannot be processed by the utilization engines.
- Emissions associated with electricity consumption from the grid:
 - The eGrid emission factor for Michigan (0.58 tCO₂e/MWh) was applied to determine the emission intensity associated with the consumption of electricity from the grid. This is a worst-case scenario given that each Michigan landfill produces renewable electricity on-site and could use their electricity generation to displace electricity consumption from the grid.

⁸ Landfill Methane Outreach Program (LMOP) – Landfill Technical Data, Environmental Protection Agency, February 2019, https://www.epa.gov/Imop/landfill-technical-data (Landfill and project level data (February 2019)



- Emissions from site operations:
 - It is assumed that an equivalent amount of equipment for site operations would be required for the disposal of an equivalent amount of waste at the SWLF and the three Michigan landfills (combined).

3.3 Project Scenarios

Three different Project Scenarios were considered as part of the GHG assessment for the SWLF:

- 1. Flaring of LFG
- 2. Utilization of LFG to generate electricity with flaring
- 3. Utilization of LFG in a lime kiln adjacent to the SWLF with flaring

Flaring is included in each of the Project Scenarios as O. Reg. 232/98 as amended, requires LFG collection at a landfill of this size (e.g. greater than 1.5 million cubic meters). In addition, the utilization methods for LFG are not often sized for the maximum capacity of LFG that can be generated from the landfill and therefore flaring is often required during the periods of peak LFG generation or during planned and unplanned outages of the utilization facility. As a result, GHD has applied the Capacity Factor to the Project Scenarios to account for the capacity limitations of the utilization facilities, as applicable.

Project Assumptions

In addition to the assumptions that have been applied to both the Baseline and Project Scenarios, the following assumptions apply exclusively to the Project Scenarios:

- Transportation emissions associated with each of the three Project Scenarios is the same.
- Flaring is included in each Project Scenario.
- Emissions associated with processing LFG for direct use in the lime kiln are attributed to the consumption of electricity.
- It is assumed the lime kiln is currently using 50 percent natural gas and 50 percent coal as fuel. Therefore, LFG used in the kiln will displace 50 percent natural gas and 50 percent coal combustion.

Project Quantification

Each Project Scenario represents a potential LFG management/utilization option for the SWLF.

Project Scenario #1 – In this scenario, the SWLF is assumed to have an LFG collection system that will only flare LFG through the GHG Emission Timeline. Emissions quantified for Project Scenario #1 included the following:

- Transportation emissions:
 - Associated with trucking the waste from the Point of Origin to the SWLF, total round-trip distance of approximately 272 km.



- Emissions from anaerobic decomposition of waste:
 - This includes assessment of both fugitive and methane destruction emissions based on the anticipated collection efficiency of the SWLF (assumed to be 85%).
 - GHD used the LFG Tool for the SWLF based on the total aggregated waste disposal tonnages (850,000 tonnes per year) used in the Baseline Scenario.
- Emission associated with electricity consumption from the grid:
 - GHD applied the NIR grid emission factor for Ontario (0.04 tCO2e/MWh) to assess the carbon intensity from consuming grid electricity in Ontario.
- Emissions from Site Operations:
 - Associated with on-Site landfill mobile equipment

Project Scenario #2 – In this scenario, the SWLF is assumed to have a LFG utilization system to generate electricity on-site in addition to flaring, through the GHG Emission Timeline. Emissions quantified for Project Scenario #2 included the following:

- Transportation Emissions:
 - Associated with trucking the waste from the Point of Origin to the SWLF, total round-trip distance of approximately 272 km.
- Emissions from anaerobic decomposition of waste:
 - This includes assessment of both fugitive and methane destruction emissions based on the anticipated collection efficiency of the SWLF (assumed to be 85%).
 - GHD used the LFG Tool for the SWLF based on the total aggregated waste disposal tonnages (850,000 tonnes per year) used in the Baseline Scenario.
 - In this scenario, a flare is still required for the GHG Emission Timeline of the landfill but priority will be given to the LFG-to-electricity utilization facility to generate renewable electricity that will displace the emissions associated with the generation of grid electricity. GHD has applied the Capacity Factor to this Project Scenario assuming that the LFG-to-electricity facility is designed for 85% of the total maximum LFG collected through the GHG Emission Timeline. It is assumed that the excess LFG collected during the peak LFG production years will be flared.
- Emissions associated with the use of electricity and from displacing grid electricity with renewable electricity generated on-site:
 - GHD applied the Ontario electricity consumption factor to quantify the benefit of displacing grid electricity.
 - GHD applied the Capacity Factor for the utilization of LFG in the LFG-to-electricity facility. It has been assumed that the LFG-to-electricity facility would not have the capacity to process/combust the maximum potential LFG collected, therefore, the Capacity Factor of 85% was applied to the maximum anticipated LFG collection volume. The Capacity Factor is also intended to account for



the periods of time when the LFG flow rates are too low to be able to be utilized in the LFG-to-electricity facility. The Capacity Factor is intended to represent upper and lower bounds of LFG flows that cannot be used in the LFG-to-electricity facility.

- Emission associated with electricity consumption from the grid:
 - GHD applied the NIR grid emission factor for Ontario (0.04 tCO₂e/MWh) to assess the carbon intensity from consuming grid electricity in Ontario.
- Emissions from site operations:
 - Associated with on-site landfill mobile equipment.

Project Scenario #3 – In this scenario, the SWLF is assumed to have a LFG utilization system that will capture LFG, and use it as a renewable fuel at the lime kiln adjacent to the SWLF through the GHG Emission Timeline. Emissions to be quantified for Project Scenario #3 include the following:

- Transportation emissions:
 - Associated with trucking the waste from the Point of Origin to the SWLF, total round-trip distance of approximately 272 km.
- Emissions from anaerobic decomposition of waste:
 - This includes assessment of both fugitive and methane destruction emissions based on the anticipated collection efficiency (85%) of the SWLF.
 - GHD used the LFG Tool for the SWLF based on the total aggregated waste disposal tonnages (850,000 tonnes per year) used in the Baseline Scenario.
 - In this scenario, a flare is still required for the GHG Emission Timeline of the landfill but priority will be given to the lime kiln to utilize LFG that will displace the emissions associated with the combustion of fossil fuels (coal and natural gas). GHD has applied the Capacity Factor to this Project Scenario assuming that the lime kiln LFG flow capacity is 85% of the total maximum LFG collected through the GHG Emission Timeline. It is assumed that the excess LFG collected during the peak LFG production years will be flared.
- Emissions from replacing fossil fuels at the lime kiln:
 - Specifically displacing the use of coal and natural gas with LFG.
 - LFG is anticipated to be used for stationary combustion purposes.
 - GHD applied the Capacity Factor for the utilization of LFG in the lime kiln. It has been assumed that the lime kiln would not have the capacity to process/combust the maximum potential LFG collected, therefore, the Capacity Factor of 85% was applied to the maximum anticipated LFG collection volume. The Capacity Factor is also intended to account for the periods of time when the LFG flow rates are too low to be able to be utilized in the lime kiln. The Capacity Factor is intended to represent upper and lower bounds of LFG flows that cannot be used in the lime kiln.



- Emissions associated with electricity consumption from the grid:
 - GHD applied the NIR grid emission factor for Ontario (0.04 tCO₂e/MWh) to assess the carbon intensity from consuming grid electricity in Ontario.
 - Electricity consumptions from LFG processing to provide LFG as a fuel to the lime kiln
- Emissions from site operations:
 - Associated with on-site landfill mobile equipment.

3.4 Leachate Treatment and Other Emissions

In addition to the emissions noted above and listed in the assumptions, GHD has identified one other potential source of emissions that would exist within a landfill site, which is the processing and treatment of leachate (impacted liquid generated within the landfill). Processing leachate from a landfill often includes the following key components; passive collection via leachate collection piping at the base of the landfill, pumping leachate from the landfill perimeter to a primary location, and the processing and treatment of leachate. The electricity required for pumping would be the main source of emissions related to leachate at a landfill along with electricity associated with the treatment process that often occurs outside of the landfill property boundary. GHD has assumed that leachate collection, processing, and treatment would be the same in both the Baseline and the Project Scenarios. In addition, GHD has assumed that the emissions associated with leachate collection and processing are negligible (less than 10 tCO₂e per year) due to the limited amount of electricity required for pumping leachate at the landfill. Leachate treatment often occurs outside the boundary of the landfill and therefore emissions associated with leachate treatment are outside the boundary of this assessment and not included.

GHD attests that there are no other significant emissions sources that exist in either the Baseline or Project Scenarios that have not been included in the GHG assessment for the purposes of this Report. Therefore, the focus of the GHG assessment remains on the major emissions sources previously noted and the associated quantification methodologies that are available for each.

3.5 Quantification Approach

There are many different sources that are available for quantifying GHG emissions from each of the major emissions sources identified. GHD has taken a conservative approach to quantifying GHG emissions and has utilized emission factors, default values, and global warming potentials that are believed to be the most representative of actual and proposed conditions for the Baseline and Project Scenarios, respectively.

GHD utilized a significant portion of publicly available data for the quantification of GHG emissions. Reputable publicly available sources were selected based on jurisdictional relevance (i.e. the NIR and MECP Guideline for Quantification, Reporting and Verification of Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Ontario emission factors and defaults, and sources from the United States Environmental Protection Agency for



Michigan emission factors and defaults values). GHD also assessed available data from the following sources:

- Walker was used as a resource for first-hand information (e.g. transportation vehicle fuel efficiency, site operations equipment details, and landfill electricity consumption).
- Location-specific emission factors and parameters (e.g. Michigan and Ontario) were applied where appropriate.
- Estimated values from online sources were also used (e.g. fuel efficiencies of landfill equipment).
- Where data or information was unavailable, conservative assumptions or estimates based on surrogate parameters were used.

Where publicly available sources outlined a range of emission factors or defaults, GHD assessed the data as follows:

- 1. Overall appropriateness of the value based on site-specific conditions and assumptions.
- 2. Level of conservativeness.

Notably, the accuracy of the use of publicly available and well-referenced data improves the accuracy and certainty in the emissions calculations. Conservative assumptions and approaches were used where required. Further details regarding each of values applied and the associated references are provided in Attachment B.

GHD used the available data to develop a GHG Quantification Model. The GHG Quantification Model was used to calculate emissions from each of the major emission sources identified within each of the scenarios detailed in Sections 3.2 and 3.3. The GHG Quantification Model also summarizes the emission reduction potential for each Project Scenario compared to the Baseline Scenario. The GHG types quantified for each scenario include:

- Carbon dioxide (CO₂)
- Methane (CH₄) and,
- Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

Global Warming Potentials (GWPs) for each GHG type were applied to quantify total GHG emissions in tCO₂e.

The quantification was completed in accordance with the ISO 14064-2 standard: *Specification with guidance at the project level for quantification, monitoring, and reporting of greenhouse gas emission reductions or removal enhancements.*



3.5.1 Landfill Gas Generation Quantification

The LFG Tool uses the Scholl-Canyon Model to forecast GHG emissions associated with the production of LFG at a landfill site. The LFG Tool therefore provides an accurate representation of the LFG generated in the Baseline Scenario and Project Scenarios.

GHD assessed the LFG emissions annually over the 50-year GHG Emission Timeline of the SWLF. The LFG Tool was run four (4) times for each scenario (one Baseline Scenario and three Project Scenarios). The LFG Tool produces the following output parameters that are used as inputs in the subsequent GHG Quantification Model:

- Annual Methane Production (upper and lower limits) (tCO₂e)
- LFG Recovery (cubic metres per hour [m³/hour] and cubic feet per minute [cfm])
- Annual Methane Destruction (upper and lower limits) (tCO2e)

In addition to the above parameters the LFG Tool also allows for calculation of the annual methane that is not collected (i.e. fugitive emissions subtracted from the total production). The annual methane that is not collected by an on-site LFG collection system is classified as fugitive emissions that either escape through the landfill cover or are stored in the landfill and released over time. The collection efficiency of LFG via the LFG collection system is one of the main parameters of the LFG Tool that can have an impact on the annual Methane Destruction Emissions and annual Fugitive Emissions.

Detailed in the sub-sections below is an outline of the relevant parameters used in the LFG Tool to provide an accurate representation of the Baseline and Project Scenarios. Table B.1 of Attachment B summarizes the relevant parameters used in the LFG Tool for each scenario.

Methane Generation Rate (k)

The LFG Tool allows for upper and lower methane generation rate values to be specified, resulting in an upper and lower range of associated emissions. The methane generation rate is dependent on a number of factors, but one of the most important, and most variable between the proposed SWLF and the existing Michigan sites, is precipitation. The upper and lower methane generation rates for the Baseline and Project Scenarios were adjusted based on the location of the landfills in question and the associated precipitation, Table 3.1 conveys the values applied for each Scenario.

Scenario	Upper Value (k)	Lower Value (k)			
Baseline – Michigan Landfills	0.040	0.035			
Project Scenarios – SWLF	0.046	0.040			

Table 3.1 Methane Generation Rates (Upper and Lower)

Baseline Scenario – Michigan Landfills

Using the Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development method, the lower value for the methane generation rate (k) for the Michigan landfills was averaged to 0.035. A methane generation rate



of 0.04 was specified as the upper limit value which was assumed by GHD and represents a 15% increase from the lower limit value.

Project Scenarios - SWLF

A methane generation rate of 0.04 was specified as a lower value for the SWLF. This value is consistent with published values recommended by the Ontario Ministry of Environment Conservation and Parks (MECP, formerly Ministry of the Environment)⁹ and United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA)¹⁰ as well as a precipitation-dependent estimation method developed by Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development (AESRD)¹¹. A methane generation rate of 0.046 was specified as the upper limit value which was assumed by GHD and represents a 15% increase from the lower limit value.

To maintain consistency between the magnitude difference of the lower methane generation rate values GHD applied the same 15% assumption for both of the upper values. The difference between the established lower methane generation rate values is 0.005 which is consistent with the difference in climate data between the landfills in the Baseline and Project Scenarios based on the CLEEN model developed by the University of Texas at Arlington¹². Refer to Table B.1 in Attachment B for a full summary of the values applied in the LFG Tool.

Methane Production Potential (L₀)

A methane production potential of 125 m³/tonne was used as a lower value and 144 m³/tonne was used as an upper value for both the Baseline and Project Scenarios, given that the waste composition is anticipated to be the same in each scenario based on the Point of Origin. A methane production potential of 125 m³/tonne is recommended by the Ontario MECP¹³ and 144 m³/tonne, representing a worst-case scenario of waste that contains a high decomposable fraction¹⁴ and an approximate 13 percent increase from the lower limit value. Since a higher decomposable fraction is more conservative, the 125-144 m³/tonne range is conservative by comparison and is consistent between the Baseline and Project Scenarios.

⁹ Interim Guide to Estimate and Assess Landfill Air Impacts, Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Air Resources Branch, October 1992.

¹⁰ USEPA AP-42 Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Chapter 2.4 Municipal Solid Waste Landfills. Final Nov. 1998 and Draft Oct. 2008, and Landfill Methane Outreach Program (LMOP) LandGEM V302, May 2005.

¹¹ Carbon Offset Emission Factors Handbook, Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development, March 31, 2015.

¹² Estimating methane emissions from landfills based on rainfall, ambient temperature, and waste composition: The CLEEN model, Journal of Waste Management, Richa V. Karanjekar, Arpita Bhatt, Said Altouqui, Neda Jangikhatoonabad, Vennila Durai, Melanie L. Sattler, M.D. Sahadat Hossain, and Victoria Chen, 2015.

¹³ Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Air Resources Branch. Interim Guide to Estimate and Assess Landfill Air Impacts. October 1992.

¹⁴ Landfill Gas Generation Assessment Procedure Guidelines, Conestoga-Rovers & Associates, 2009 https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/waste-management/garbage/lgassessment.pdf



Landfill Gas Collection System Efficiency

To establish the LFG collection efficiency of the Baseline Scenario GHD used site-specific data from the US EPA Landfill Methane Outreach Project (LMOP). The US EPA provides details on the LFG production for each Michigan site along with the amount of LFG currently being collected. GHD used these two values to establish an average LFG collection efficiency that was representative of each of the three sites. The collection efficiency applied in the Baseline Scenario is approximately 53 percent based on available data¹⁵.

The SWLF is planned to be filled in four stages, each containing five cells to be filled over a period of approximately one year before intermediate cover is applied. Final cap is generally applied to each stage as it is completed, approximately every five (5) years. SWLF's LFG collection system will consist of both horizontal and vertical collection wells, allowing LFG collection to begin within two-to-three years of operation of the Site (2026). As a result, the collection efficiency at the SWLF is anticipated to be 85 percent, which is consistent with LFG collection efficiencies which are used for reporting purposes at Walker's similarly designed and operated South Landfill in Niagara Falls.

Appliance and Utilization Destruction Efficiencies

Destruction devices are used to combust LFG from the LFG collection systems, however, the destruction devices are not capable of destroying LFG at 100 percent efficiency. Therefore, the destruction efficiencies applied in the LFG Tool for each scenario are provided in Table 3.2

Applicable Scenario	Eligible Destruction Device	Efficiency (Fraction)
Baseline	Internal Combustion Engine	0.972
	Enclosed Flare	0.977
Project Scenario #1, #2, and #3	Enclosed Flare	0.977
Project Scenario #2	Internal Combustion Engine	0.972
Project Scenario #3	Kiln (i.e. Stationary Combustion)	0.986

Table 3.2 Destruction Device Efficiencies¹⁶

The destruction efficiencies presented in Table 3.2 are representative of each of the LFG utilization devices in each scenario. The destruction efficiency values themselves represent the degree of combustion through each device (i.e. a value of 1.000 would represent complete combustion or 100 percent efficiency).

Flare Uptime

The flare uptime represents the percentage of the year that the flare is anticipated to operate (i.e. 100 percent represents 24 hours a day for 365 days). This percentage is intended to encompass flare

¹⁵ Landfill Methane Outreach Program (LMOP) – Landfill Technical Data, Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/Imop/landfill-technical-data (Landfill and project level data (February 2019)

¹⁶ USEPA AP-42 Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Chapter 2.4 Municipal Solid Waste Landfills. Final Nov. 1998 and Draft Oct. 2008, and Landfill Methane Outreach Program (LMOP) LandGEM V302, May 2005.



downtime that may occur through each year related to but not limited to maintenance, high oxygen concentrations, low methane concentrations, testing, and operational alarms. Flares are typically designed to operate under a broad range of conditions when compared to utilization engines or other stationary combustion devices, therefore assuming a relatively high flare uptime is common. Therefore, in all of the scenarios, a conservative flare uptime of 97 percent was assumed, which represents the lower range of expected annual uptime.

Utilization Uptime

Similar to the flare uptime, the utilization uptime represents the percentage of the year that the utilization device is anticipated to operate (i.e. 100 percent represents 24 hours a day for 365 days). For all scenarios using LFG utilization devices, an uptime of 85% was applied and was qualified based on Walker's experience as a landfill owner/operator and Canada's largest landfill gas utilization project developer. GHD has assumed that during downtime periods with the engine that the flare will be used.

For Project Scenario #3, kiln uptime was modelled as 97%. Kiln uptime is higher due to lower operation and maintenance requirements, similar to the flare. Project Scenario #1 was modelled by setting utilization uptime to 0% to account for the lack of LFG utilization in this scenario (use of the flare only).

GHD notes that the utilization uptime and the Capacity Factor are two different parameters, the Capacity Factor (also 85 percent) applies to the LFG flow capacity limitations of the LFG utilization systems whereas the utilization uptime value applies to the amount of time per year that the utilization device is able to operate. Both the utilization uptime and Capacity Factor are applied to the utilization device emissions in Project Scenarios #2 and #3 with the excess LFG not combusted by the utilization devices, combusted in the flare.

3.5.2 GHG Quantification Model

The LFG Tool provided the annual methane production and capture volumes for each scenario, which GHD then inputted into the GHG Quantification Model. These results were then used to quantify the GHG emission sources that were dependent on the results of the LFG Tool (i.e. Methane Destruction Emissions, Fugitive Emissions and emissions associated with LFG utilization). The following sections of the GHG assessment provide further background and justification for the default values, parameters, and emission factors used in the GHG Quantification Model and some of the differentiators used between scenarios. Table B.2 of Attachment B summarizes the relevant parameters used in the GHG Quantification Model for each scenario.

Emissions from Transportation of Waste

One of the major differentiators between the Baseline and the Project Scenarios is the distance required for transportation of the waste from the Point of Origin to where it is disposed. In the Baseline Scenario, the waste transportation vehicles are travelling approximately 764 km round-trip from the Point of Origin to the Michigan landfills. In the Project Scenario the waste transportation vehicles are travelling a much less distance of 272 km round-trip from the Point of Origin to the SWLF.



GHD estimated the number of annual trips (26,565) required to transport the total anticipated waste tonnage (850,000 tonnes) based on the capacity of the waste transportation vehicles (approximately 32 tonnes of waste). GHD assumed two-way/round-trip travel was required in the quantification, and that the long-haul transportation vehicles used only diesel fuel. As previously noted, the fuel efficiency of the transportation vehicles has been calculated based on first-hand information available from Walker's long-haul waste haulage experience.

The total travel distance, number of trips, and fuel efficiency of the waste transportation vehicles was used to calculate the volume of diesel fuel required for transportation each year. GHD then used the emission factors associated with Heavy-duty Diesel Vehicles – Advanced Control from Environment Canada's NIR to calculate the Transportation Emissions attributed to transportation of the waste. GHD selected the most conservative and representative emission factor from Environment Canada's NIR and crosschecked it against other available sources (The Climate Registry and 1996 IPCC default values) to ensure it was appropriate.

Emissions from Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste

The emissions from anaerobic decomposition of waste are broken down into two categories:

- Methane Destruction Emissions Methane is the predominant compound in LFG (representing on average 50 percent by volume). Methane Destruction Emissions represent the GHG emissions that are avoided through the combustion of LFG. Other predominant compounds in LFG (carbon dioxide and balance gases; mainly nitrogen) do not provide a net GHG emission benefit when combusted, therefore they are not quantified.
- Fugitive Emissions GHG emissions that are not captured or escape through the landfill cover. These emissions are based on the collection efficiency of the LFG collection systems. These emissions are attributed to the release of uncollected methane contained in the LFG.

The LFG Tool outputs the total annual methane production (m³/hr and cfm) and total annual Methane Destruction emissions in tCO₂e which allows for the straight forward subtraction of the Methane Destruction emissions from the total production to calculate the Fugitive Emissions. The upper and lower values are both presented in Section 4 and the GHG Quantification Model presented in Attachment A.

Emissions from Displacing Grid Electricity with Renewable Electricity

Renewable electricity generation associated with LFG utilization varies depending on the capacity of the LFG utilization engines and conversion efficiency located at each site along with the collection efficiency of the associated LFG collection systems.

GHD quantified emissions from displacing grid electricity with renewable electricity using the LFG recovery rate in cubic feet per minute (cfm) calculated by the LFG Tool. The average electricity production rate was provided by Walker (scfm/MW) for Project Scenario #2. In addition, multiple other values were applied to quantify the emissions including; engine run-time assumptions and electricity grid emissions factors.



The electricity emission factors used for electricity consumption (discussed above) were used for the quantification of emissions displaced from renewable electricity generation.

Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels in the Lime Kiln with Landfill Gas

GHD used the LFG Tool output of LFG recovery (m³/hour) in combination with assumed operating parameters; methane composition, and methane energy content to determine the amount of energy in mega joules (MJ) that would be supplied to the lime kiln, in the form of LFG, for Project Scenario #3. GHD assumed this energy directly displaces the energy produced by the combustion of coal and natural gas in the lime kiln with a 50/50 split. The energy contents and combustion emission factors of coal and natural gas¹⁷ were used to determine the Emission Reductions associated with displacing the energy from the combustion of natural gas and coal fossil fuels with LFG.

Emissions from Electricity Consumption

Electricity usage (i.e. total MWh) is assumed to be equivalent in both the Baseline and Project Scenarios (approximately 8,760 MWh per year). It is assumed that the total energy consumption in the Baseline Scenario is divided evenly across each of the three Michigan landfill sites. To calculate the emissions from electricity consumption in the Baseline Scenario, GHD used the eGRID¹⁸ emission rate for the RFC Michigan eGRID sub-region to calculate emissions from electricity consumption for the landfill sites in Michigan. To calculate the emissions from the electricity consumption in the Project Scenarios, GHD used the Electricity Consumption Intensity factor (grid emission factor) for Ontario from Environment Canada's NIR to calculate emissions from electricity consumption for the SWLF.

GHD notes that the grid emission factors applied are significantly different based on the efforts Ontario has undergone to decarbonize its electrical grid in comparison to Michigan, as detailed in Table 3.3.

Emission Factor	Reference	Reference Value	Value (Normalized Units)
Ontario Grid Electricity Emission Factor	Environment Canada NIR	40 g CO ₂ /kWh	0.04 tCO ₂ e/MWh
Michigan Grid Electricity Emission Factor	eGRID Summary Tables 2016	1,278.9 lb CO₂e/MWh	0.58 tCO ₂ e/MWh

Table 3.3 Grid Electricity Emission Factors

The Michigan grid emission factor is more than 10 times greater than the Ontario grid emission factor. These emission factors represent how carbon intensive the electricity grid is in either area. For example if a grid contains electricity generation from renewable sources such as wind power and hydro power it will

¹⁷ National Inventory Report (1996-2016): Greenhouse Gas Sources and Sinks in Canada, 2018, Environment and Climate Change Canada.

¹⁸ eGrid Summary Tables 2016, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2016 https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-02/documents/egrid2016_summarytables.pdf.



have a much lower grid emission factor than a grid where the electricity is produced from the combustion of coal or other fossil fuels. The Ontario electricity grid (mostly comprised of hydroelectric and nuclear power) is much less carbon intensive than the electricity grid in Michigan which is comprised of more carbon intensive electricity generation facilities (i.e. coal and natural gas power plants).

GHD assumed that emission factors related to the grid electricity will remain constant over the GHG Emission Timeline of the SWLF and through the assessment. Grid emission factors in both Ontario and Michigan will change over time. However, it is very difficult to accurately forecast this reduction over the 50-year GHG Emission Timeline of the assessment.

Emissions from Electricity Consumption for LFG Processing for Use in Engines and Lime Kiln

LFG processing consists of conditioning the LFG prior to use in utilization engines and lime kiln. Processing of the LFG occurs in a closed system and consists of the removal of small concentrations of contaminants in the LFG that may harm the utilization engines or impact the lime kilns (e.g. siloxanes, hydrogen sulfide, etc.).

The main emissions generated from the processing of LFG are applicable to the consumption of electricity during the processing. GHD used the output flow of LFG (m³/hour) from the LFG Tool in combination with assumed operating parameters, to determine the volume of LFG that would be supplied to the electricity generating facility or the lime kiln. GHD used an estimate of electricity consumption for processing (per cubic metre of LFG) obtained through GHD's industry experience. GHD then applied the grid emission factor for Ontario from Table 3.3 to calculate emissions from electricity consumption for LFG processing.

Emissions from Site Operations

As stated in Section 3.1, there is a governing assumption related to the emissions from site operations. It has been established that the emissions from site operations are generally equivalent between the Baseline and Project Scenarios.

GHG emissions from site operations associated with on-site fuel use can vary depending on the technology used, types of operations, types of vehicles, types of construction equipment etc. However, the magnitude of emissions associated with site operations are often very similar. When emissions from site operations are calculated during actual operations, there is generally a low level of uncertainty as the emissions are based on total quantities of fossil fuels (e.g. gasoline or diesel) combusted. The equations used to calculate the emissions are also straight forward, as they are based on total fuel consumption and a reference emission factor for that specific fossil fuel type. Accuracy of the GHG emissions estimates can be improved through tracking and measurement of site-specific data (i.e. fuel use for each individual piece of equipment), if applicable, or estimates based on operations at similar facilities. Tracking of energy use through third-party meters or invoicing provides the highest level of verifiable, and reliable data (such as natural gas and electricity invoices).

For the purpose of this assessment, it has been assumed that the magnitude of emissions from site operations are equivalent as specific fuel consumption data associated with each of the Michigan landfills



and the SWLF is not available. To ensure the estimates are conservative, GHD assumed that the emissions from site operations are equivalent in both the Baseline and Project Scenarios. This is a conservative assumption because in actuality the emissions from site operations at three landfill sites in Michigan (Baseline Scenario), would likely be higher than the site operations at the single SWLF (Project Scenarios), therefore, this assumption prevents over estimating potential Emission Reductions from the SWLF associated with site operations.

4. Quantification Results and Discussion

4.1 Summary of Results

Table 4.1 below outlines the estimated GHG emissions for each scenario by emission source. Emission totals are presented for the GHG Emission Timeline of the SWLF.

Upper limits and lower limits have been provided for emission sources which are dependent on the results of the LFG Tool. The LFG Tool presents outputs in terms of upper limits and lower limits that are calculated based on the upper and lower boundaries of variables (defaults) that are used in the calculations.

Emission Source	Baseline Scenario – Waste Exported to Michigan	Project Scenario #1 – Flaring	Project Scenario #2 – Electricity Generation	Project Scenario #3 – Lime Kiln
Emissions from Transportation (tCO ₂ e)	609,199	216,888	216,888	216,888
Fugitive Emissions from Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste (tCO ₂ e)				
Upper Limit	12,264,997	5,186,737	4,655,519	4,348,137
Lower Limit	10,346,558	4,264,138	4,357,057	3,574,662
Emissions from Displacing Grid Electricity with Renewable Electricity (tCO ₂ e)				
Upper Limit	-1,055,524	N/A	-123,248	N/A
Lower Limit	-863,656	N/A	-101,325	N/A
Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels (Coal) with LFG (tCO ₂ e)				
Upper Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A	-23,640
Lower Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A	-22,865

Table 4.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Scenario and Emission Source (tCO₂e)



				2-1
Emission Source	Baseline Scenario – Waste Exported to Michigan	Project Scenario #1 – Flaring	Project Scenario #2 – Electricity Generation	Project Scenario #3 – Lime Kiln
Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels (Natural Gas) with LFG (tCO ₂ e)				
Upper Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A	-10,396
Lower Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A	-10,055
Emissions from Electricity Consumption	259,165	17,870	17,870	17,870
Emissions from Site Operations	33,759	33,759	33,759	33,759
Emissions from Electricity Consumption for LFG Processing				
Upper Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A	384
Lower Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A	315
	Emission	Summary		
Total Emissions (Upper Limit)	12,111,596	5,455,254	4,800,788	4,583,002
Total Emissions (Lower Limit)	10,385,524	4,297,897	4,524,249	3,810,574

Table 4.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Scenario and Emission Source (tCO2e)

Where the 850,000 tonnes per year of solid, non-hazardous waste from Ontario is disposed of in Michigan landfills (Baseline Scenario) with lower LFG collection efficiencies and LFG utilization systems it will produce approximately 10-12 million tCO₂e of GHG emissions over the 50 year GHG Emission Timeline.

In comparison, where the waste is disposed of at the SWLF it will produce approximately 3.8-5.5 million tonnes of emissions over the GHG Emission Timeline. This is due to higher LFG collection system efficiencies, thereby reducing the total fugitive emissions from the site. Shorter hauling distances of the waste and the associated reduction in fuel consumption also aids in reducing the emissions from the Project Scenarios. The utilization of LFG in either utilization engines or the lime kiln only has a marginal benefit to emissions from the SWLF compared to the benefit of utilization in the Baseline Scenario, which is largely attributed to the eGrid emission factor in Michigan.

The emissions in each emission category can be presented as either positive or negative based on whether or not the emission category is resulting in the release of emissions to the atmosphere (e.g. combustion of diesel fuel for transportation would be positive), or the emission category is mitigating/reducing emissions that would have otherwise been emitted (e.g. mitigating the direct release of LFG to the atmosphere through combustion would be negative). Provided below is a summary of each of the emission categories and justification for whether or not the emissions are presented as positive or negative:



- Emissions from Transportation Positive
 - The emissions associated with transportation are attributed to the combustion of fossil fuel (diesel) therefore these emissions are presented as positive values.
 - Based on the results presented in Tables 4.1, each Project Scenario generates a net emission reduction over the baseline scenario which is associated with the decrease in transportation emissions that amounts to -329,311 tCO2e. The differential between the Baseline and the Project Scenarios is representative of the change in transportation distances between the landfills. The transportation distance to the SWLF (272 km round-trip) is approximately one third of the transportation distance to the Michigan landfills (764 km round-trip). GHD notes that the transportation Emission Reductions only apply to the initial 20 years (2024 2044) that waste will be transported to and disposed of at the SWLF.
- Methane Destruction Emissions from the Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste Negative
 - The emissions associated with the destruction of methane in LFG are considered negative because in the absence of a LFG collection system and associated flaring or utilization, the LFG would be released to the atmosphere as fugitive emissions. Methane destruction emissions are not considered in the emission comparison scenarios because it is best management practices for modern landfills to capture and destroy landfill gas.
- Fugitive Emissions from the Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste Positive
 - Fugitive emissions represent the direct or indirect release of LFG to the atmosphere without being collected or combusted in the LFG collection system, therefore these emissions are considered to represent a positive value in the Baseline and Project Scenarios.
 - Each Project Scenario has a net GHG emission benefit over the Baseline Scenario ranging from, (5.9) – (7.9) million tCO2e. The desirable environmental impacts from these emission categories are largely based on the improved LFG collection efficiency at the SWLF compared to the average LFG collection efficiency at the Michigan landfill sites, given that the waste tonnage and composition is the same in both the Baseline and Project Scenarios. This is because Methane Destruction Emissions are increased (more methane destroyed) and Fugitive Emissions are decreased in the Project Scenarios, based on the increased LFG collection efficiency of the SWLF. This net emission savings then compounds through the 50-year GHG Emission Timeline. These results present the GHG emission impact/benefit of the proactive management of LFG at the SWLF, in accordance with Ontario regulations, and the importance of effective LFG collection systems over the lifetime of the sites.
- Emissions from Displacing Grid Electricity with Renewable Electricity Negative
 - The combustion of LFG to generate renewable electricity displaces grid electricity that would have normally been generated by fossil fuels or other carbon intensive practices (based on the grid emission factors). Therefore these emissions represent a negative value in the Baseline and applicable Project Scenario.



- The Baseline Scenario maintains a significant environmental benefit associated with the emissions from displacing grid electricity with renewable electricity approximately (863,656) (1,055,524) tCO2e. This benefit in the Baseline Scenario is attributed to the relatively high eGrid emission factor in Michigan which is primarily comprised of non-renewable energy sources, as noted Table 3.3. As identified in Project Scenario #2 (Table 2), the magnitude of the GHG benefit in Ontario is an order of magnitude less (101,325) to (123,248) tCO2e based on the relatively low electrical grid emission factor in Ontario, as noted in Table 3.3.
- Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels (Coal and Natural Gas) with LFG Negative
 - Similar to displacing grid electricity, LFG can be combusted in a lime kiln lieu of combusting fossil fuels such as natural gas and coal, which results in these emissions representing a negative value in Project Scenario #3.
 - A portion of the net negative emissions in Project Scenario #3 are attributed to the displacement of coal and natural gas that would normally be used in a lime kiln, with the use of biogas instead.
 Project Scenario #3 represents approximately a (22,865) – (23,640) tCO₂e benefit compared to the Baseline Scenario where there is no displacement of fossil fuels.
- Emissions from Electricity Consumption (including LFG processing) Positive
 - Electricity consumption from the grid is carbon intensive based on the grid emission factor that is applied for the jurisdiction, therefore these emissions represent a positive value in the Baseline and Project Scenarios.
- Emissions from Site Operations Positive
 - Similar to the emissions from transportation, the emissions from Site Operations are attributed to the combustion of fossil fuels, therefore these emissions represent a positive value in the Baseline and Project Scenarios.

Based on the above, when adding the emissions from each emissions category together, if the total emissions are positive this represents a scenario that is more carbon intensive and therefore has an undesirable impact on the environment. If the total emissions are negative, this presents a scenario where emissions are being reduced and therefore resulting in a more desirable impact on the environment. As a result, the more negative the total emissions are the more desirable impact the scenario is having on the environment. Table 4.2 provides a summary of the net GHG emission benefits of each Project Scenario compared to the Baseline Scenario where the Baseline Scenario has a net zero (0) benefit.

Emission Source	Baseline Scenario – Waste Exported to Michigan	Project Scenario #1 – Flaring	Project Scenario #2 – Electricity Generation	Project Scenario #3 – Natural Gas Displacement
Net GHG Emissions (Upper Limit)	0	(6,656,342)	(7,310,808)	(7,528,593)
Net GHG Emissions (Upper Limit)	0	(5,852,370)	(5,562,314)	(5,800,974)

Table 4.2 Net GHG Emissions Comparison - Baseline vs. Project Scenarios



Table 4.2 provides a summary of the net GHG emission differential between the Baseline Scenario and the three Project Scenarios. The SWLF, depending on the final Project Scenario that is adapted, will reduce GHG emissions from Ontario's waste management practices by approximately 5.8 - 7.5 million tCO₂e over the GHG Emission Timeline. Emissions presented in Table 4.2 are calculated for each Project Scenario and compared to the Baseline Scenario over the 50-year GHG Emission Timeline based on the following example equation:

Net GHG Emission Reductions = Baseline Scenario Emissions – Project Scenario Emissions

The Baseline Emissions remain constant while the Project Scenario Emissions change based on the based on the individual Project Scenario conditions outlined in Section 3.3 and presented in Table 4.1. The results presented in Table 4.2 indicate that Project Scenario #3 has the most negative and therefore most desirable impact on the environment, followed by Project Scenarios' #2 and #1.

4.2 Emission Reduction Equivalencies

To provide context for the net Emission Reductions presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3, GHD has provided a correlation between the net Emission Reductions and the equivalent removal of vehicles from the road. The US EPA's Greenhouse Gas Emissions from a Typical Passenger Vehicle estimates that a typical passenger vehicle emits 4.6 tCO₂e annually. Therefore, the annual carbon reduction for the Project Scenario resulting in the most significant amount of net Emission Reductions is Project Scenario #3, which represents the equivalent of removing more than 32,000 vehicles from the road per year. Provided in Table 4.3 provides a summary of the relative impact of the net Emission Reductions from each of the Project Scenarios.

Total Numbers of Vehicles on an Annual Basis	Project Scenario #1	Project Scenario #2	Project Scenario #3
Upper Limit	28,941	31,786	32,733
Lower Limit	25,445	24,184	25,222

 Table 4.3 Estimated Vehicles Removed Annually from the Road by Project Scenario

5. Conclusion

Each of the Project Scenarios assessed in this Report provide a significant degree of GHG emission reductions over the 50-year GHG Emission Timeline when compared to the baseline scenario. The SWLF project will provide a significant net positive effect by reducing Ontario's GHG emissions associated with waste management activities by 5.8 - 7.5 M tonnes of CO2e. For comparison, this is equivalent to removing 24,184 – 32,733 cars from the road every year.

The most significant emission reductions are generated through Project Scenario #3 (refer to Table 4.2), which has an added benefit (compared to the other two Project Scenarios) of displacing fossil fuels (coal and natural gas).



GHD also identified the following parameters where there were additional impacts on the total emission reductions in each Project Scenario:

- Overall reduction in the total transportation distance required for waste with regards to Transportation Emissions
- Destruction efficiency of the flare and stationary combustion equipment compared to LFG utilization to generate electricity
- Limited impact of displacing grid electricity in Ontario
- Minor benefit related to electricity consumption in Ontario
- Limited impact of emissions from electricity consumption for LFG processing

Through this assessment it was identified that effective collection of LFG at landfills has the most significant impact on GHG emissions from landfill sites.

6. References

A detailed summary of the references used in this Report are provided in Attachment B.

7. Closing

This Report provides an evaluation of the GHG emission impacts that the SWLF can provide compared to current landfill practices and serves to satisfy a component of *Minister's Amendment #14 of the Notice of Approval – Terms of Reference*. The GHG emission estimates in this Report are based on modelled LFG generation from the Michigan Landfills (Baseline Scenario) and SWLF (Project Scenarios).

This Report accompanies the EA for the SWLF that also includes an Air Quality Assessment completed by RWDI¹⁹. GHD has completed this Report independent of the Air Quality Assessment. The Air Quality Assessment provides a more pointed understanding of the air quality emissions from the SWLF that pertain to specific compounds generated from the degradation of waste within the SWLF (e.g. NMOCs, VOCs, ethane, octane, etc.) along with the core components of LFG (i.e. methane and carbon dioxide). However, the GHG emission estimates contained in this Report and the results of the Air Quality Assessment are both based on a similar foundation that consists of modelling the anticipated LFG generation from the SWLF over the GHG Emission Timeline. GHD used the foundation of the Scholl-Canyon Model in the LFG Tool and RWDI applied the LandGEM Model²⁰. Both models, achieve a very similar magnitude of LFG generation potential through the GHG Emission Timeline. Therefore, GHD has determined that the GHG emissions forecasted within this letter report are consistent with the associated

¹⁹ Air Quality Assessment, RWDI, 2019

²⁰ Landfill Gas Emission Model (LandGEM), Version 3.02, 2005, https://www3.epa.gov/ttn/catc/dir1/landgemv302.xls



LFG generation estimates provided in the Air Quality Assessment. As a result, the GHG emission estimates provided in this Report provide an accurate representation of the proposed conditions at the SWLF based on the information available at the date of issuance.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have questions or require any additional information.

Sincerely,

GHD

temlih

Jason Clarke JC/mg/2 rev.2 Encl.

cc: Bobbie Thoman, Walker Blair Shoniker, GHD Tej Gidda, GHD Callie Churchill, GHD





GREENHOUSE GAS QUANTIFICATION REPORT FOR THE SOUTHWESTERN LANDFILL **PROJECT AND BASELINE SCENARIOS**

FIGURE 1

Table 1

Project Scenario #1 Summary GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

	Baseline Scenario	Project Scenario #1	Emission Reductions
	Michigan Landfill Sites with LFG	Southwestern Landfill with Flaring	
Emissions Source	Emission	os over GHG Emission Timeline (tCO₂e)	
Transportation Emissions - Waste			
Hauling	609,199	216,888	-392,311
Fugitive Emissions from Anaerobic		•	•
Decomposition of Waste			
Upper Limit	12,264,997	5,186,737	-7,078,260
Lower Limit	10,346,558	4,264,138	-6,082,420
Emissions from Displacing Grid			
Electricity with Renewable Electricity			
Upper Limit	-1,055,524	N/A	1,055,524
Lower Limit	-863,656	N/A	863,656
Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels			
(Coal) with Biogas			
Upper Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lower Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A
Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels			
(Natural Gas) with Biogas			
Upper Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lower Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A
Emissions from Electricity Consumption	259,165	17,870	-241,294
Emissions from Site Operations - Mobile			
Emissions	33,759	33,759	-
Emissions from Electricity Consumption			
for LFG Upgrading			
Upper Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lower Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total GHG Emissions (Upper Limit)	12,111,596	5,455,254	N/A
Total GHG Emissions (Lower Limit)	10,385,024	4,532,655	N/A
	ī	Net Emission Reductions (Upper Limit)	-6,656,342
	r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Net Emission Reductions (Lower Limit)	-5,852,370

Note:

Where emission reductions result in a desirable environmental impact they are presented as negative values (e.g. Project Scenario Emissions are less than Baseline Scenario emissions) and where emission reductions result in an undesirable environmental impact they are presented as positive values.

Table 2

Project Scenario #2 Summary GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

	Baseline Scenario	Project Scenario #2	Emission Reductions
	Michigan Landfill Sites with LFG Utilization for Electricity	Southwestern Landfill with Flaring and Electricity Generation	
Emissions Source	Emissior	ns over GHG Emission Timeline (tCO ₂ e)	•
Transportation Emissions - Waste			
Hauling	609,199	216,888	-392,311
Fugitive Emissions from Anaerobic			
Decomposition of Waste			
Upper Limit	12,264,997	4,655,519	-7,609,478
Lower Limit	10,346,558	4,357,057	-5,691,039
Emissions from Displacing Grid			
Electricity with Renewable Electricity			
Upper Limit	-1,055,524	-123,248	932,276
Lower Limit	-863,656	-101,325	762,331
Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels			
(Coal) with Biogas			
Upper Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lower Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A
Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels			
(Natural Gas) with Biogas			
Upper Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lower Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A
Emissions from Electricity Consumption	259,165	17,870	-241,294
Emissions from Site Operations - Mobile			
Emissions	33,759	33,759	
Emissions from Electricity Consumption			
	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A		N/A
Total CHC Emissions (Upper Limit)	12 111 506		N/A
Total GHG Emissions (Lower Limit)	10 385 024	4,000,700	N/A
	10,505,027	4,JL7,L7J	7 210 000
		Net Emission Reductions (Opper Limit)	-7,310,000
		Net Emission Reductions (Lower Limit)	-3,302,314

Note:

Where emission reductions result in a desirable environmental impact they are presented as negative values (e.g. Project Scenario Emissions are less than Baseline Scenario emissions) and where emission reductions result in an undesirable environmental impact they are presented as positive values.

Table 3

Project Scenario #3 Summary GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

	Baseline Scenario	Project Scenario #3	Emission Reductions
	Michigan Landfill Sites with LFG	Southwestern Landfill with Flaring and	
	Utilization for Electricity	Kiln Use	
Emissions Source	Emissio	ns over GHG Emission Timeline (tCO ₂ e)	
Transportation Emissions - Waste			
Hauling	609,199	216,888	-392,311
Fugitive Emissions from Anaerobic			
Decomposition of Waste			
Upper Limit	12,264,997	4,348,137	-7,916,859
Lower Limit	10,346,558	3,574,662	-5,998,420
Emissions from Displacing Grid			
Electricity with Renewable Electricity			
Upper Limit	-1,055,524	N/A	1,055,524
Lower Limit	-863,656	N/A	863,656
Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels			
(Coal) with Biogas			
Upper Limit	N/A	-23,640	-23,640
Lower Limit	N/A	-22,865	-22,865
Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels			
(Natural Gas) with Biogas			
Upper Limit	N/A	-10,396	-10,396
Lower Limit	N/A	-10,055	-10,055
Emissions from Electricity, Consumption	259 165	17 870	-241 294
Emissions from Site Operations - Mobile	200,100	11,010	241,204
Emissions	33,759	33,759	-
Emissions from Electricity Consumption			
for LFG Upgrading			
Upper Limit	N/A	384	384
Lower Limit	N/A	315	315
Total GHG Emissions (Upper Limit)	12,111,596	4,583,002	N/A
Total GHG Emissions (Lower Limit)	10,385,024	3,810,574	N/A
· · · · · · ·		Net Emission Reductions (Upper Limit)	-7,528,593
		Net Emission Reductions (Lower Limit)	-5,800,974

Note:

Where emission reductions result in a desirable environmental impact they are presented as negative values (e.g. Project Scenario Emissions are less than Baseline Scenario emissions) and where emission reductions result in an undesirable environmental impact they are presented as positive values.



GHD | 11178001Fry-2 ATT-TPs

Emissions Summary GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

	Baseline Scenario	Project Scenario 1	Project Scenario 2	Project Scenario 3	
	Michigan Landfill Sites with LFG Utilization for Electricity	Southwestern Landfill with Flaring	Southwestern Landfill with Flaring and Electricity Generation	Southwestern Landfill with Flaring and Kiln Use	
Emissions Source		Emissions 50-year GH	G Emission Timeline (tCO ₂ e)		
Transportation Emissions - Waste					
Hauling	609,199	216,888	216,888	216,888	
Methane Destruction Emissions from Ana	erobic Decomposition of Waste				
Upper Limit	-13,010,349	-21,500,745	-22,031,963	-22,339,344	
Lower Limit	-10,645,774	-17,676,266	-18,112,379	-18,365,742	
Fugitive Emissions from Anaerobic Decor	mposition of Waste				
Upper Limit	12,264,997	5,186,737	4,655,519	4,348,137	
Lower Limit	10,346,558	4,264,138	4,357,057	3,574,662	
Emissions from Displacing Grid Electricity	v with Renewable Electricity				
Upper Limit	-1,055,524	N/A	-123,248	N/A	
Lower Limit	-863,656	N/A	-101,325	N/A	
Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels (Coal) with Biogas				
Upper Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A	-23,640	
Lower Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A	-22,865	
Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels (N	Natural Gas) with Biogas				
Upper Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A	-10,396	
Lower Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A	-10,055	
Emissions from Electricity					
Consumption	259,165	17,870	17,870	17,870	
Emissions from Site Operations -					
Mobile Emissions	33,759	33,759	33,759	33,759	
Emissions from Electricity Consumption f	or LFG Upgrading				
Upper Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A	384	
Lower Limit	N/A	N/A	N/A	315	
Total GHG Emissions (Upper Limit)	12,111,596	5,455,254	4,800,788	4,583,002	
Total GHG Emissions (Lower Limit)	10,385,024	4,532,655	4,524,249	3,810,574	
Average # Cars Removed off Road (Up	per Limit) (Annual)	28,941	31,786	32,733	
Average # Cars Removed off Road (Low	wer Limit) (Annual)	25,445	25,482	28,585	

Calculations GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

Baseline Scenario - Disposal of Waste at Michigan Landfills + LFG Utilization System for Electricity

Year	Emissions from Electricity Consumption	Emissions from Site Operations	Emissions from Transportation to Michigan Landfills Diesel Vehicles	Methane Destruction Emissions from Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste (Upper Limit)	Methane Destruction Emissions from Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste (Lower Limit)	Fugitive Emissions from Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste (Upper Limit)	Fugitive Emissions from Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste (Lower Limit)	Emissions from Displacing Grid Electricity with Renewable Electricity (Upper Limit)	Emissions from Displacing Grid Electricity with Renewable Electricity (Lower Limit)
	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)
2024	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	-		-	-	-	-
2025	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	-		-		-	-
2026	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	30,912.32	23,531.94	29,310.51	22,935.76	2,514.97	1,914.51
2027	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	60,612.56	46,254.50	57,471.73	45,082.66	4,931.32	3,763.17
2028	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	89,148.22	68,195.53	84,528.74	66,467.82	7,252.92	5,548.25
2029	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	116,564.99	89,381.91	110,524.82	87,117.45	9,483.50	7,271.94
2030	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	142,906.73	109,839.59	135,501.58	107,056.84	11,626.61	8,936.33
2031	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	168,215.60	129,593.64	159,499.00	126,310.43	13,685.69	10,543.48
2032	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	192,532.10	148,668.26	182,555.47	144,901.79	15,664.03	12,095.36
2033	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	215,895.14	167,086.82	204,707.88	162,853.73	17,564.80	13,593.85
2034	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	238,342.09	184,871.88	225,991.68	180,188.20	19,391.04	15,040.81
2035	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	259,908.88	202,045.22	246,440.91	196,926.47	21,145.67	16,438.00
2036	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	280,630.03	218,627.91	266,088.33	213,089.04	22,831.51	17,787.13
2037	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	300,538.69	234,640.22	284,965.37	228,695.68	24,451.23	19,089.87
2038	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	319,666.72	250,101.80	303,102.22	243,765.54	26,007.45	20,347.79
2039	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	338,044.72	265,031.59	320,527.90	258,317.10	27,502.65	21,562.45
2040	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	355,702.11	279,447.88	337,270.33	272,368.15	28,939.22	22,735.33
2041	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	372,667.15	293,368.32	353,356.27	285,935.92	30,319.46	23,867.87
2042	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	389,989.40	307,326.46	367,789.07	299,037.03	31,645.59	24,961.45
2043	5,081.66	1,687.93	30,459.96	407,614.90	321,934.17	380,673.46	311,687.53	32,919.71	26,017.43
2044	5,081.66	-	-	424,549.31	336,039.48	393,052.67	323,902.93	34,143.88	27,037.08
2045	5,081.66	-	-	440,819.72	349,659.62	404,946.49	335,698.18	35,320.04	28,021.66
2046	5,081.66	-	-	456,452.13	362,811.30	416,373.92	347,087.72	36,450.09	28,972.38
2047	5,081.66	-	-	436,681.05	349,026.38	401,921.08	335,149.78	35,020.86	27,975.88
2048	5,081.66	-	-	417,685.13	335,715.62	388,034.89	323,622.47	33,647.67	27,013.67
2049	5,081.66	-	-	399,434.07	322,862.67	374,693.21	312,491.62	32,328.33	26,084.55
2050	5,081.66	-	-	381,898.61	310,451.77	361,874.63	301,743.59	31,060.71	25,187.38
2051	5,081.66	-	-	366,808.41	298,938.77	347,801.12	291,365.25	29,842.81	24,321.07
2052	5,081.66	-	-	352,425.68	288,656.91	334,163.68	281,343.88	28,672.66	23,484.56
2053	5,081.66	-	-	338,606.82	278,728.69	321,060.88	271,667.18	27,548.38	22,676.82
2054	5,081.66	-	-	325,329.90	269,141.92	308,471.94	262,323.29	26,468.20	21,896.86
2055	5,081.66	-	-	312,573.50	259,884.89	296,376.55	253,300.79	25,430.36	21,143.72
2056	5,081.66	-	-	300,317.35	250,946.26	284,755.49	244,588.61	24,433.23	20,416.49
2057	5,081.66	-	-	288,541.74	242,315.07	273,590.07	236,176.09	23,475.19	19,714.28
2058	5,081.66	-	-	277,227.85	233,980.74	262,862.45	228,052.91	22,554.71	19,036.21
2059	5,081.66	-	-	266,357.59	225,933.07	252,555.46	220,209.12	21,670.33	18,381.47
2060	5,081.66	-	-	255,913.56	218,162.19	242,652.62	212,635.11	20,820.62	17,749.24
2061	5,081.66	-	-	245,879.05	210,658.58	233,138.08	205,321.61	20,004.23	17,138.77
2062	5,081.66	-	-	236,237.99	203,413.07	223,996.60	198,259.67	19,219.86	16,549.29
2063	5,081.66	-	-	226,974.98	196,416.75	215,213.58	191,440.60	18,466.24	15,980.08
2064	5,081.66	-	-	218,075.17	189,661.10	206,774.94	184,856.09	17,742.17	15,430.45
2065	5,081.66	-	-	209,524.33	183,137.77	198,667.19	178,498.03	17,046.49	14,899.73
2066	5,081.66	-	-	201,308.75	176,838.84	190,877.33	172,358.68	16,378.08	14,387.26
2067	5,081.66		-	193,415.34	170,756.52	183,392.93	166,430.45	15,735.89	13,892.41
2068	5,081.66	-	-	185,831.40	164,883.43	176,201.98	160,706.16	15,118.88	13,414.59
2069	5,081.66		-	178,544.83	159,212.35	169,292.98	155,178.76	14,526.05	12,953.20
2070	5,081.66			171,543.99	153,736.29	162,654.92	149,841.43	13,956.48	12,507.68
2071	5,081.66			164,817.65	148,448.60	156,277.12	144,687.70	13,409.24	12,077.49
2072	5,081.66			158,355.06	143,342.78	150,149.41	139,711.23	12,883.45	11,662.09
2073	5,081.66			152,145.87	138,412.56	144,261.97	134,905.92	12,378.29	11,260.97
2074	5,081.66	-		146,180.15	133,651.92	138,605.38	130,265.89	11,892.93	10,873.66
IOTAL	259,164.65	33,758.60	609,199.16	13,010,349.33	10,645,773.57	12,264,996.83	10,346,557.90	1,055,523.71	863,655.98

Calculations GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

Project Scenario #1 - Disposal of Waste at Southwestern Landfill + LFG Utilization System for Flaring

	sposal of Maste at oout		othization bystem for th	anng			
			Emissions from	Methane Destruction	Methane Destruction	Fugitive Emissions from	Fuai
	Emissions from	Emissions from Site	Transportation to	Emissions from	Emissions from	Anaerobic	Anae
Year	Electricity Consumption	Operations	Southwestern Landfill	Anaerobic	Anaerobic	Decomposition of Waste	Deco
			Diesel Vehicles	Decomposition of Waste	Decomposition of Waste	(Upper Limit)	(Low
				(Upper Limit)	(Lower Limit)		
	$(tCO_2 e/year)$	$(tCO_2 e/year)$	$(tCO_2 e/year)$	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO ₂ e/year)	
2024	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	-		-	
2025	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	-		-	
2026	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	55,646.97	42,116.72	13,424.01	
2027	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	108,792.17	82,582.02	26,244.50	
2028	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	159,548.05	121,460.66	38,488.61	
2029	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	208,022.06	158,814.85	50,182.25	
2030	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	254,316.78	194,704.35	61,350.16	
2031	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	298,530.17	229,186.61	72,015.99	
2032	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	340,755.80	262,316.80	82,202.30	
2033	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	381,083.06	294,147.93	91,930.66	
2034	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	419,597.29	324,730.95	101,221.65	
2035	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	456,380.00	354,114.80	110,094.93	
2036	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	491,509.05	382,346.48	118,569.29	
2037	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	525,058.73	409,471.17	126,662.66	
2038	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	557,100.12	435,532.29	134,392.17	
2039	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	587,700.96	460,571.55	141,774.17	
2040	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	616,926.03	484,629.00	148,824.29	
2041	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	644,837.22	507,743.17	155,557.45	
2042	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	671,493.60	529,950.99	161,987.91	
2043	350.40	1.687.93	10.844.38	696.951.56	551,288.03	168,129.26	
2044	350.40	-	-	721.264.95	571,788,42	173.994.51	
2045	350.40	-	-	744,485,26	591,485.02	179,596.07	
2046	350.40	-	-	766 661 66	610 409 31	184 945 80	
2047	350.40	-	-	732 193 98	586 474 81	176 630 98	
2048	350.40			699 275 98	563 478 83	168 690 00	
2040	350.40	_		667 837 93	541 384 47	161 106 03	
2043	350.40			637 813 15	520 156 /5	153 863 00	
2050	350.40			600 138 31	/00 760 81	1/6 0/5 62	
2051	350.40			581 752 63	439,700.01	140,340.02	
2052	350.40			555 508 17	400,104.33	134 020 85	
2053	350.40			530,610,64	401,337.41	134,029.03	
2054	350.40	-	-	500,019.04	440,240.10	120,004.10	
2055	350.40	-	-	300,704.00	420,000.13	122,249.00	
2050	350.40	-	-	403,900.07	409,109.09	110,703.20	
2057	350.40		-	402,222.03	393,123.03	111,304.24	
2050	350.40		-	441,441.47	317,711.12	100,491.23	
2059	350.40	-	-	421,595.15	302,900.83	101,703.60	
2060	350.40	-	-	402,641.05	346,671.31	97,131.20	
2061	350.40	-	-	384,539.08	334,999.71	92,764.37	
2062	350.40	-	-	367,250.98	321,864.19	88,593.87	
2063	350.40	-	-	350,740.10	309,243.74	84,610.87	
2064	350.40	-	-	334,971.52	297,118.09	80,806.93	
2065	350.40	-	-	319,911.83	285,467.96	//,1/4.00	
2066	350.40		-	305,529.24	274,274.57	73,704.41	
2067	350.40	-		291,793.24	263,520.12	70,390.81	
2068	350.40	-	-	278,674.76	253,187.34	67,226.17	
2069	350.40	-	-	266,146.09	243,259.71	64,203.81	
2070	350.40	-	-	254,180.74	233,721.36	61,317.35	
2071	350.40	-	-	242,753.26	224,557.02	58,560.64	
2072	350.40	-	-	231,839.55	215,752.02	55,927.86	
2073	350.40	-	-	221,416.50	207,292.27	53,413.46	
2074	350.40	-	-	211,462.06	199,164.20	51,012.10	
TOTAL	17,870.40	33,758.60	216,887.66	21,500,744.82	17,676,266.07	5,186,736.96	

ive Emissions from erobic omposition of Waste ver Limit) (tCO₂e/year) 10,160.04 19,921.69 29,300.59 38,311.74 46,969.55 55,287.88 63,280.05 70,958.84 78,336.54 85,424.96 92,235.44 98,778.87 105,065.73 111,106.08 116,909.58 122,485.54 127,842.84 132,990.09 137,935.51 142,687.02 147,252.23 141,478.38 135,930.94 130,601.00 125,480.06 120,559.91 115,832.69 111,290.83 106,927.07 102,734.39 98,706.12 94,835.80 91,117.23 87,544.46 84,111.80 80,813.73 77,644.98 74,600.48 71,675.35 68,864.93 66,164.69 63,570.33 61,077.70 58,682.81 56,381.82 54,171.06 52.046.99 50,006.20 48,045.42 4,264,137.97

Calculations GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

Project Scenario #2 - Disposal of Waste at Southwestern Landfill + LEG Utilization System for Electricity

Year	Emissions from Electricity Consumption	Emissions from Site Operations	Emissions from Transportation to Southwestern Landfill Diesel Vehicles	Methane Destruction Emissions from Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste (Upper Limit)	Methane Destruction Emissions from Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste (Lower Limit)	Fugitive Emissions from Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste (Upper Limit)	Fugitive Emissions from Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste (Lower Limit)	Emissions from Displacing Grid Electricity with Renewable Electricity (Upper Limit)	Emissions from Displacing Grid Electricity with Renewable Electricity (Lower Limit)
	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO ₂ e/year)
2024	4 350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	-	-	-	-	-	•
202	5 350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	-		-		-	
202	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	56,860.30	43,035.04	12,210.68	10,381.43	318.98	241.42
202	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	111,164.28	84,382.65	23,872.39	20,355.80	623.63	473.38
202	3 350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	163,026.85	124,109.00	35,009.81	29,939.07	914.57	696.25
2029	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	212,557.79	162,277.66	45,646.52	39,146.58	1,192.44	910.37
203	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	259,861.92	198,949.70	55,805.02	47,993.05	1,457.81	1,116.10
203	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	305,039.34	234,183.81	65,506.82	56,492.65	1,711.26	1,313.76
2032	2 350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	348,185.66	268,036.38	74,772.44	64,658.98	1,953.30	1,503.67
203	3 350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	389,392.22	300,561.56	83,621.49	72,505.09	2,184.47	1,686.13
2034	4 350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	428,746.22	331,811.41	92,072.72	80,043.56	2,405.24	1,861.45
203	5 350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	466,330.95	361,835.95	100,143.99	87,286.44	2,616.09	2,029.88
203	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	502,225.94	390,683.19	107,852.40	94,245.32	2,817.46	2,191.71
203	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	536,507.15	418,399.30	115,214.24	100,931.34	3,009.78	2,347.20
203	3 350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	569,247.17	445,028.66	122,245.12	107,355.20	3,193.45	2,496.59
203	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	600,515.23	470,613.89	128,959.90	113,527.17	3,368.86	2,640.12
204	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	630,377.53	495,195.88	135,372.79	119,457.14	3,536.39	2,778.02
204	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	658,897.29	518,814.04	141,497.37	125,154.60	3,696.38	2,910.52
2042	2 350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	688,677.17	542,929.45	144,804.34	130,628.65	3,849.18	3,037.82
2043	3 350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	717,953.83	567,467.04	147,127.00	135,888.05	3,995.11	3,160.13
204	350.40	- 1	-	745,914.23	591,042.49	149,345.24	140,941.23	4,134.48	3,277.64
204	5 350.40	-	-	772.617.58	613.693.58	151,463.75	145.796.29	4.267.59	3,390.55
204	350.40	-	-	798,120.44	635,456.52	153,487.02	150.460.97	4.394.71	3,499.03
204	350.40	-	-	758,482.60	607.931.84	150.342.35	144.561.31	4,197.13	3.361.83
204	350.40	-	-	720.626.91	581,486.46	147.339.07	138.892.99	4.008.44	3.230.01
204	350.40	-	-	684,473,15	556.077.95	144,470.81	133.446.91	3.828.23	3,103.36
205	350.40	-	-	651.720.08	531,497.98	139.956.07	128.214.37	3.656.12	2.981.68
205	350.40	-	-	622,420.00	510.657.63	133.663.92	123,187.01	3.491.74	2.864.76
205	2 350.40	-	-	594,437.20	490,634.48	127,654.65	118,356.78	3,334.76	2,752.43
205	3 350.40	-	-	567.712.47	471.396.44	121,915.55	113.715.95	3,184.84	2,644.51
2054	350.40	-	-	542,189.31	452,912.76	116,434,48	109.257.09	3.041.65	2.540.82
205	5 350.40	-	-	517,813.52	435,153.79	111,199.81	104,973.06	2,904.91	2,441.19
205	350.40	-	-	494,533.63	418,091.15	106,200.48	100,857.00	2,774.31	2,345.47
205	350.40	-	-	472,300.37	401,697.58	101,425.92	96,902.35	2,649.58	2,253.50
205	350.40	-	-	451,066.69	385,946.76	96,866.01	93,102.75	2,530.46	2,165.14
2059	350.40	-	-	430,787.64	370,813.55	92,511.11	89,452.13	2,416.70	2,080.25
206	350.40	-	-	411,420.26	356,273.76	88,351.99	85,944.67	2,308.05	1,998.68
206	350.40	-	-	392,923.59	342,304.07	84,379.85	82,574.73	2,204.28	1,920.31
206	2350.40	-	-	375,258.55	328,882.14	80,586.31	79,336.93	2,105.18	1,845.01
206	3 350.40	-	-	358,387.66	315,986.52	76,963.30	76,226.09	2,010.54	1,772.67
2064	350.40	-	-	342,275.26	303,596.48	73,503.19	73,237.21	1,920.15	1,703.16
206	5 350.40	-	-	326,887.21	291,692.32	70,198.62	70,365.55	1,833.82	1,636.38
206	350.40	-	-	312,191.02	280,254.87	67,042.63	67,606.47	1,751.38	1,572.22
206	350.40	-	-	298,155.52	269,265.93	64,028.53	64,955.59	1,672.64	1,510.57
206	350.40	-	-	284,751.01	258,707.85	61,149.92	62,408.64	1,597.44	1,451.34
206	350.40	-	-	271,949.16	248,563.76	58,400.74	59,961.56	1,525.62	1,394.43
207	350.40	-	-	259,722.92	238,817.44	55,775.17	57,610.43	1,457.03	1,339.75
207	350.40	-	-	248,046.27	229,453.27	53,267.63	55,351.49	1,391.53	1,287.22
207	2350.40	-	-	236,894.59	220,456.29	50,872.82	53,181.13	1,328.97	1,236.75
2073	3 350.40	-		226,244,28	211,812.09	48,585.68	51,095.87	1,269.22	1,188.25
2074	350.40			216.072.79	203.506.79	46.401.36	49.092.37	1.212.16	1,141.66
TOTAL	17,870.40	33,758.60	216,887.66	22,031,962.78	18,112,379.11	4,655,519.00	4,357,057.08	123,248.05	101,325.11

Calculations GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

Project Scenario #3 - Disposal of Waste at Southwestern	l andfill + I EG Utilization System for Use at Nearby Lime Kiln
$F_1 O_1 = C_1 = C_1 = C_1 = C_2 = $	Lanunin + LFG Ulinzation System for Use at Mearby Line Rin

Year	Emissions from	Emissions from Site	Emissions from Transportation to	Methane Destruction Emissions from Anaerobic	Methane Destruction Emissions from Anaerobic	Fugitive Emissions from Anaerobic Decomposition of Waste	Fugitive Emissions from Anaerobic	Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels	Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels (Coal) with LEG (Lower	Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels (Natural Gas) with LEG	Emissions from Displacing Fossil Fuels (Natural Gas) with LEG	Emissions from Electricity Consumption	Emissions from Electricity Consumption for LEG Upgrading
		Operations	Diesel Vehicles	Decomposition of Waste (Upper Limit)	Decomposition of Waste (Lower Limit)	(Upper Limit)	(Lower Limit)	Limit)	Limit)	(Upper Limit)	(Lower Limit)	(Upper Limit)	(Lower Limit)
	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO ₂ e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)	(tCO₂e/year)
2024	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2025	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	-		-		-		-		-	-
2026	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	57,828.99	43,768.20	11,241.99	8,508.56	61.18	54.48	26.91	23.96	0.99	0.75
2027	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	113,058.11	85,820.22	21,978.56	16,683.50	119.62	106.82	52.60	46.97	1.94	1.47
2028	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	165,804.23	126,223.36	32,232.43	24,537.89	175.42	157.11	77.14	69.09	2.85	2.17
2029	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	216,179.00	165,042.28	42,025.31	32,084.31	228.72	205.43	100.58	90.34	3.71	2.83
2030	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	264,289.01	202,339.07	51,377.93	39,334.83	279.62	251.86	122.96	110.75	4.54	3.48
2031	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	310,236.10	238,173.44	60,310.06	46,301.05	328.24	296.46	144.34	130.37	5.33	4.09
2032	350.40	1,007.93	10,044.30	304,117.47	272,002.74	00,040.03	50,424,75	374.00	339.32	104.70	149.21	0.06	4.00 5.25
2033	350.40	1,007.93	10,044.30	436 050 49	305,082.03	84 768 45	09,424.70	419.00	420.05	202.87	107.32	0.80 7 /0	5.25
2034	350.40	1,007.93	10,044.30	430,030.49	368 000 31	04,708.43 02 100 <i>4</i> 2	71 539 46	501.70	420.03	202.87	201 43	7.49 8.15	5.00 6.32
2030	350.40	1,007.33	10,844.38	510 782 04	397 339 00	99 296 30	77,333.40	540.42	494 58	220.00	201.40	8 77	6.82
2030	350.40	1,687,93	10,844.38	545 647 27	425 527 30	106 074 12	82 722 74	577.31	529.67	253.86	232.91	9.37	7.31
2038	350.40	1 687 93	10,844,38	578 945 06	452 610 32	112 547 23	87 987 69	612.54	563.38	269.36	247 74	9.94	7.77
2039	350.40	1.687.93	10.844.38	610.745.81	478.631.43	118.729.32	93.046.21	646.18	595.77	284.15	261.98	10.49	8.22
2040	350.40	1.687.93	10.844.38	641.116.86	503.632.21	124.633.46	97.906.37	678.31	626.89	298.28	275.67	11.01	8.65
2041	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	670,122.49	527,652.73	130,272.17	102,575.98	709.00	656.78	311.78	288.81	11.51	9.06
2042	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	697,641.44	550,629.08	135,840.07	107,164.75	738.31	685.51	324.66	301.45	11.98	9.46
2043	350.40	1,687.93	10,844.38	723,863.14	572,606.23	141,217.69	111,671.89	766.30	713.11	336.97	313.58	12.44	9.84
2044	350.40	- 1	-	748,905.93	593,721.63	146,353.53	116,002.29	793.04	739.63	348.73	325.24	12.87	10.21
2045	350.40	-	-	772,822.85	614,009.13	151,258.48	120,162.91	818.57	765.11	359.96	336.45	13.29	10.56
2046	350.40	-	-	795,664.54	633,501.15	155,942.91	124,160.39	842.95	789.59	370.68	347.21	13.68	10.89
2047	350.40	-	-	760,162.83	608,848.61	148,662.13	119,104.58	805.05	758.63	354.01	333.60	13.07	10.47
2048	350.40	-	-	726,257.29	585,162.75	141,708.69	114,247.01	768.86	728.88	338.10	320.52	12.48	10.06
2049	350.40	-	-	693,876.09	562,405.56	135,067.86	109,579.91	734.29	700.30	322.90	307.95	11.92	9.66
2050	350.40	-	-	662,823.00	540,552.76	128,853.15	105,083.75	701.28	672.84	308.38	295.87	11.38	9.28
2051	350.40	-	-	633,023.76	519,357.37	123,060.16	100,963.35	669.75	646.46	294.52	284.27	10.87	8.92
2052	350.40	-	-	604,564.24	498,993.09	117,527.61	97,004.53	639.64	621.11	281.27	273.13	10.38	8.57
2053	350.40	-	-	577,384.21	479,427.31	112,243.80	93,200.93	610.88	596.76	268.63	262.42	9.92	8.23
2054	350.40	-	-	551,426.23	460,628.74	107,197.56	89,546.48	583.42	573.36	256.55	252.13	9.47	7.91
2055	350.40	-	•	526,635.17	442,567.22	102,378.16	86,035.31	557.19	550.88	245.02	242.24	9.04	7.60
2056	350.40	-	-	502,958.67	425,213.89	97,775.44	82,661.81	532.14	529.28	234.00	232.74	8.64	7.30
2057	350.40	-	-	480,346.64	408,541.03	93,379.65	79,420.60	508.22	508.52	223.48	223.62	8.25	7.02
2058	350.40	-		406,701.22	392,021.00	09,101.40 95 172.06	70,300.47	460.37	400.00	213.44	214.60	7.88	0.74
2059	350.40			430,120.00	362 343 36	00,172.00	70,014.44	403.55	409.43	203.04	200.42	7.32	0.40 6.22
2000	350.40			300 617 57	348 135 68	77 685 87	67 677 76	442.71	401.02 433.33	194.00	190.33	6.86	5.98
2062	350.40			381 651 58	334 485 09	74 193 27	65 024 08	403 79	416.34	177 56	183.08	6.55	5.30
2063	350.40	-	-	364 493 28	321,369,77	70,857,69	62,474,46	385.64	400.02	169.58	175.90	6.26	5.52
2064	350.40	-	-	348,106,38	308.768.65	67.672.07	60.024.79	368.30	384.33	161.96	169.01	5.98	5.30
2065	350.40	-	-	332,456.17	296.661.69	64.629.66	57.671.19	351.75	369.26	154.68	162.38	5.71	5.10
2066	350.40	-		317,509.61	285,029.39	61,724.04	55,409.87	335.93	354.78	147.72	156.01	5.45	4.90
2067	350.40	-	-	303,235.00	273,853.24	58,949.05	53,237.22	320.83	340.87	141.08	149.90	5.21	4.70
2068	350.40	-		289,602.12	263,115.29	56,298.81	51,149.75	306.40	327.51	134.74	144.02	4.97	4.52
2069	350.40	-	-	276,582.18	252,798.38	53,767.73	49,144.14	292.63	314.67	128.68	138.37	4.75	4.34
2070	350.40	-	-	264,147.65	242,886.01	51,350.45	47,217.17	279.47	302.33	122.90	132.95	4.54	4.17
2071	350.40	-	-	252,272.07	233,362.32	49,041.83	45,365.76	266.91	290.47	117.37	127.73	4.33	4.01
2072	350.40	-	-	240,930.41	224,212.06	46,837.00	43,586.95	254.91	279.08	112.09	122.72	4.14	3.85
2073	350.40	-	-	230,098.65	215,420.59	44,731.30	41,877.88	243.45	268.14	107.05	117.91	3.95	3.70
2074	350.40	-	-	219,753.88	206,973.80	42,720.27	40,235.82	232.50	257.63	102.24	113.29	3.77	3.55
TOTAL	17,870.40	33,758.60	216,887.66	22,339,344.36	18,365,742.49	4,348,137.42	3,574,661.55	23,640.21	22,864.91	10,395.52	10,054.59	383.74	315.49

Parameter	Value - Michigar	n Value - Ontario	Units
Electricity Consumption Intensity		0.580098158	0.04 tonnes CO2/MWh
		1888	a/m ³
CH.		0.037	a/m ³
N ₂ O		0.033	a/m ³
Coal Combustion Emission Factor		0.000	5
CO ₂		2176	kg CO2/tonne
		0.03	g CH4/kg
N ₂ O		0.02	g N2O/kg
Efficiency of Long Haul Collection Vehicles (Diesel)		0.55	L/km
Heavy-duty Diesel Vehicles (HDDVs) - Advanced Control			
		2681	g/L fuel
CH ₄		0.11	g/L fuel
N ₂ O		0.151	g/L fuel
Flare/Kiln Uptime	NA		97%
Electricity Production Rate		350	scfm/MW
Engine Uptime		85	%
LEG Capacity Eactor (applied to both engine and kilps)		8760	www.n/year %
Total estimated # of trips required to transport full volume of waste		26.565	trips/vear
Total distance from the Point of Origin to the MI landfills (round-trip)	764		km/trip
Total distance from the Point of Origin to the Southwestern Landfill (round-trip)	272		km/trip
Methane Fraction in LFG		0.5	traction
Electricity Consumption for Liberading		1687.93	tCO ₂ e/year kW/b/m3 biogas processed
Annual metric tons of carbon dioxide emitted from a typical passenger vehicle		4.6	metric tons CO ₂ per year
Energy Content and Densities	Value	2	Units/Misc
Methane Density		0.656 kg/m ³	@ 25 deg C, 1 atm
		0.7157 kg/m [°]	@ 15 deg C, 1 atm
Methane Energy Content		39.8 MJ/m ³	0.14
Coal		19.15	GJ/tonne
Natural Gas		0.038	GJ/m*
Unit Conversions			
	1000 KWN/MWN 1000000 g/toppo		
	2204 62 lbs/tonne		
	1000 MJ/GJ		
	1000 kg/tonne		
Global Warming Potentials	Value	Reference	
CO ₂			
CH ₄		2018 Environment 25 Table 1	Canada National Inventory Report (1990 -
N ₂ O		298	
Destruction Efficiencies			
Internal Combustion Engine		97.2%	
Enclosed Flare		97.7%	

Lime Kiln

General Assumptions

Emission factors for natural gas, coal, diesel, and electricity remain unchanged over lifespan of the Southwestern Landfill

Electricity consumption at the Michigan Landfill Sites (combined) is equivalent to the assumed electricity consumption at the Southwestern Landfill Assumed 181 trucks per day operating 286 days per year to transport full volume of waste to MI. Two way travel has been included in the calculations Assumed equal # of trips between the three MI landfill sites

98.6%

Assume all waste transportation vehicles are powered by diesel fuel

Assume 50% coal consumption and 50% natural gas consumption in the lime kiln boilers

Assume the lime kiln can accept 100% of LFG collected

Assume front end loaders combust diesel as fuel

Reference	Comments
ON - 2018 Environment Canada National Inventory Report (1990 - 2016) - Part 3 - Table A13-7: Electricity Generation and GHG Emission Details for Ontario MI - eGRID Summary Tables	
2018 Environment Canada National Inventory Report (1990 - 2016) - Part 2 - Table A6-1 and A6-2: Emission Factors for Natural Gas	
2018 Environment Canada National Inventory Report (1990 - 2016) - Part 2 - Table A6-8 and A6-10: Emission Factors for Coal	
Walker	
2018 Environment Canada National Inventory Report (1990 - 2016) - Part 2 - Table A6-12: Emission Factors for Energy Mobile Combustion Sources Walker Walker Walker Walker Calculation Walker Walker Walker Walker GHD internal database U.S. EPA's Greenhouse Gas Emissions from a Typical Passenger Vehicle	Based on an estimated 24 MWh day Round trip 850,000 tonnes of waste / 32 tonnes of waste per Total number of trips was rounded up to 26,565 to 382km One-way travel 136km One-way travel Assumed 50% v/v methane

Ontario of Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Guideline for Quantification, Reporting and Verification of Greenhouse Gas Emissions

(1990 - 2016) - Part 1 als (GWPs)

er truck = 26,562.5 trips to be conservative

Baseline Scenario GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

	Tonnage	LFG Produ (Fig	uction (m³/hr) ure 1)	LFG Prod (Fig	uction (cfm) ure 1)	Annual Methane Production				Production LFG Recov		LFG Recovery (m³/hr) (Figure 1)		LFG Rec (Fig	overy (cfm) lure 1)	A	nnual Methane	Offsets (Figure 2)	
Year	Annual					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes)	CO _{2e} /year)					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes)	CO _{2e} /year)		
	Decomposable (tonnes)	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters										
2024	595,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2025	595,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2026	595,000	769	585	452	344	2,409	1,834	60,223	45,844	407	310	240	183	1,204	916	30,094	22,909		
2027	595,000	1,507	1,150	887	677	4,723	3,604	118,084	90,112	799	610	470	359	2,360	1,801	59,007	45,030		
2028	595,000	2,216	1,696	1,305	998	6,947	5,314	173,677	132,857	1,175	899	691	529	3,471	2,656	86,787	66,389		
2029	595,000	2,898	2,222	1,706	1,308	9,084	6,965	227,090	174,132	1,536	1,178	904	693	4,539	3,481	113,478	87,015		
2030	595,000	3,553	2,731	2,091	1,607	11,136	8,560	278,408	213,988	1,883	1,447	1,108	852	5,565	4,277	139,122	106,931		
2031	595,000	4,182	3,222	2,462	1,896	13,109	10,099	327,715	252,472	2,217	1,708	1,305	1,005	6,550	5,046	163,761	120,102		
2032	595,000	4,787	3,696	2,817	2,176	15,004	11,585	375,088	289,633	2,537	1,959	1,493	1,153	7,497	5,789	187,433	144,731		
2033	595,000	5,300	4,104	3,109	2,440	10,024	13,021	420,003	323,310	2,040	2,202	1,074	1,290	0,407	0,500	210,170	102,002		
2034	595,000	5,920	4,390	3,400	2,700	20.254	14,407	404,334	300,104	3,141	2,430	2,016	1,434	9,201 10.121	7,199	252,030	106 604		
2035	595,000	6 977	5,025	3,803	2,907	20,234	17 037	546 718	393,021 125 027	3,420	2,002	2,010	1,507	10,121	7,000 8,517	233,020	212 828		
2030	595,000	7 472	5 834	4,107	3,199	21,003	18 285	585 504	423,327	3,090	2,001	2,177	1,090	11 703	0,514	202 570	212,030		
2037	595,000	7,472	6 218	4,390	3,434	23,420	19,205	622 769	437,122	2,900 4 212	3,092	2,331	1,020	12 448	9,137	311 201	220,420		
2000	595,000	8 405	6 589	4 947	3 878	26 343	20 653	658 573	516 330	4 454	3 492	2,473	2 056	13 164	10 321	329 092	258 013		
2000	595,000	8 844	6 948	5 205	4 089	27 719	21,000	692 972	544 415	4 687	3 682	2 759	2,000	13 851	10,821	346 282	272 047		
2041	595.000	9.265	7.294	5.453	4.293	29.041	22.861	726.023	571.535	4,911	3.866	2,890	2.275	14.512	11.424	362.798	285.599		
2042	595.000	9.671	7.628	5.692	4.490	30.311	23.909	757.778	597.722	5.125	4.043	3.017	2.380	15.147	11.947	378.666	298.685		
2043	595.000	10.060	7.951	5.921	4.680	31.532	24.920	788.288	623.008	5.332	4.214	3.138	2.480	15.756	12.453	393.912	311.320		
2044	595.000	10.434	8.262	6.141	4.863	32.704	25.897	817.602	647.424	5.530	4.379	3.255	2.577	16.342	12.941	408.560	323.521		
2045	0	10,794	8,563	6,353	5,040	33,831	26,840	845,766	671,001	5,721	4,539	3,367	2,671	16,905	13,412	422,634	335,303		
2046	0	11,139	8,854	6,556	5,211	34,913	27,751	872,826	693,766	5,904	4,693	3,475	2,762	17,446	13,867	436,156	346,679		
2047	0	10,702	8,549	6,299	5,032	33,544	26,796	838,602	669,905	5,672	4,531	3,339	2,667	16,762	13,390	419,054	334,755		
2048	0	10,283	8,255	6,052	4,859	32,229	25,875	805,720	646,864	5,450	4,375	3,208	2,575	16,105	12,930	402,622	323,241		
2049	0	9,879	7,971	5,815	4,692	30,965	24,985	774,127	624,615	5,236	4,225	3,082	2,487	15,473	12,485	386,835	312,123		
2050	0	9,492	7,697	5,587	4,530	29,751	24,125	743,773	603,132	5,031	4,079	2,961	2,401	14,867	12,056	371,667	301,388		
2051	0	9,120	7,432	5,368	4,375	28,584	23,295	714,610	582,387	4,833	3,939	2,845	2,319	14,284	11,641	357,094	291,022		
2052	0	8,762	7,177	5,157	4,224	27,464	22,494	686,589	562,356	4,644	3,804	2,733	2,239	13,724	11,240	343,092	281,012		
2053	0	8,419	6,930	4,955	4,079	26,387	21,721	659,668	543,014	4,462	3,673	2,626	2,162	13,186	10,854	329,639	271,347		
2054	0	8,089	6,692	4,761	3,938	25,352	20,973	633,802	524,337	4,287	3,547	2,523	2,087	12,669	10,481	316,714	262,014		
2055	0	7,771	6,461	4,574	3,803	24,358	20,252	608,950	506,303	4,119	3,425	2,424	2,016	12,172	10,120	304,296	253,002		
2056	0	7,467	6,239	4,395	3,672	23,403	19,556	585,073	488,889	3,957	3,307	2,329	1,946	11,695	9,772	292,364	244,300		
2057	0	7,174	6,025	4,222	3,546	22,485	18,883	562,132	472,074	3,802	3,193	2,238	1,879	11,236	9,436	280,900	235,898		
2058	0	6,893	5,817	4,057	3,424	21,604	18,233	540,090	455,837	3,653	3,083	2,150	1,815	10,795	9,111	269,886	227,784		
2059	0	6,622	5,617	3,898	3,306	20,757	17,606	518,913	440,159	3,510	2,977	2,066	1,752	10,372	8,798	259,304	219,950		
2060	0	6,363	5,424	3,745	3,192	19,943	17,001	498,566	425,020	3,372	2,875	1,985	1,692	9,965	8,495	249,136	212,385		
2061	0	6,113	5,238	3,598	3,083	19,161	16,416	479,017	410,401	3,240	2,776	1,907	1,634	9,575	8,203	239,367	205,080		
2062	0	5,873	5,057	3,457	2,977	18,409	15,851	460,235	396,286	3,113	2,680	1,832	1,578	9,199	7,921	229,982	198,026		
2063	0	5,643	4,883	3,321	2,874	17,688	15,306	442,189	382,656	2,991	2,588	1,760	1,523	8,839	7,649	220,964	191,215		
2064	0	5,422	4,715	3,191	2,775	16,994	14,780	424,850	369,494	2,874	2,499	1,691	1,471	8,492	7,386	212,300	184,638		
2065	0	5,209	4,553	3,066	2,680	16,328	14,271	408,192	356,786	2,761	2,413	1,625	1,420	8,159	7,132	203,975	178,288		
2066	0	5,005	4,397	2,946	2,588	15,687	13,781	392,186	344,514	2,653	2,330	1,561	1,372	7,839	6,886	195,977	172,156		
2067	0	4,809	4,245	2,830	2,499	15,072	13,307	376,808	332,665	2,549	2,250	1,500	1,324	7,532	6,649	188,293	166,234		

	Page	7	of	14
--	------	---	----	----

Baseline Scenario GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

	Tonnage	LFG Produ (Fig	uction (m³/hr) uure 1)	LFG Prod (Fig	uction (cfm) ure 1)	m) Annual Methane Production				LFG Recovery (m³/hr) (Figure 1)		LFG Recovery (cfm) (Figure 1)		Annual Methane Offsets (Figure 2)			
Year	Annual					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes (CO _{2e} /year)					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes)	CO _{2e} /year)
	Decomposable (tonnes)	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters
2068	0	4,620	4,099	2,719	2,413	14,481	12,849	362,033	321,223	2,449	2,173	1,441	1,279	7,236	6,421	180,910	160,517
2069	0	4,439	3,958	2,613	2,330	13,914	12,407	347,838	310,175	2,353	2,098	1,385	1,235	6,953	6,200	173,816	154,996
2070	0	4,265	3,822	2,510	2,250	13,368	11,980	334,199	299,506	2,260	2,026	1,330	1,192	6,680	5,987	167,001	149,665
2071	0	4,098	3,691	2,412	2,172	12,844	11,568	321,095	289,205	2,172	1,956	1,278	1,151	6,418	5,781	160,453	144,517
2072	0	3,937	3,564	2,317	2,098	12,340	11,170	308,504	279,258	2,087	1,889	1,228	1,112	6,166	5,582	154,161	139,547
2073	0	3,783	3,441	2,226	2,025	11,856	10,786	296,408	269,653	2,005	1,824	1,180	1,073	5,925	5,390	148,117	134,747
2074	0	3,634	3,323	2,139	1,956	11,391	10,415	284,786	260,378	1,926	1,761	1,134	1,037	5,692	5,204	142,309	130,112
2075	0	3,492	3,209	2,055	1,889	10,945	10,057	273,619	251,423	1,851	1,701	1,089	1,001	5,469	5,025	136,729	125,637
2076	0	3,355	3,098	1,975	1,824	10,516	9,711	262,890	242,775	1,778	1,642	1,047	966	5,255	4,853	131,368	121,316
2077	0	3,223	2,992	1,897	1,761	10,103	9,377	252,582	234,425	1,708	1,586	1,006	933	5,049	4,686	126,217	117,143
2078	0	3,097	2,889	1,823	1,700	9,707	9,054	242,678	226,362	1,641	1,531	966	901	4,851	4,525	121,268	113,114
2079	0	2,976	2,789	1,751	1,642	9,327	8,743	233,163	218,576	1,577	1,478	928	870	4,661	4,369	116,513	109,224
2080	0	2,859	2,694	1,683	1,585	8,961	8,442	224,020	211,059	1,515	1,428	892	840	4,478	4,219	111,944	105,467
2081	0	2,747	2,601	1,617	1,531	8,609	8,152	215,236	203,799	1,456	1,378	857	811	4,302	4,074	107,555	101,840
2082	0	2,639	2,511	1,553	1,478	8,272	7,872	206,797	196,790	1,399	1,331	823	783	4,133	3,933	103,337	98,337
2083	0	2,536	2,425	1,492	1,427	7,948	7,601	198,688	190,021	1,344	1,285	791	756	3,971	3,798	99,285	94,955
2084	0	2,436	2,342	1,434	1,378	7,636	7,339	190,897	183,485	1,291	1,241	760	730	3,816	3,668	95,392	91,689
2085	0	2,341	2,261	1,378	1,331	7,336	7,087	183,412	177,175	1,241	1,198	730	705	3,666	3,541	91,652	88,535
2086	0	2,249	2,183	1,324	1,285	7,049	6,843	176,221	171,081	1,192	1,157	702	681	3,522	3,420	88,058	85,490
2087	0	2,161	2,108	1,272	1,241	6,772	6,608	169,311	165,196	1,145	1,117	674	658	3,384	3,302	84,605	82,550
2088	0	2,076	2,036	1,222	1,198	6,507	6,381	162,672	159,515	1,100	1,079	648	635	3,252	3,188	81,288	79,710
2089	0	1,995	1,966	1,174	1,157	6,252	6,161	156,294	154,028	1,057	1,042	622	613	3,124	3,079	78,101	76,969
2090	0	1,916	1,898	1,128	1,117	6,007	5,949	150,165	148,730	1,016	1,006	598	592	3,002	2,973	75,038	74,321
2091	0	1,841	1,833	1,084	1,079	5,771	5,745	144,277	143,615	976	971	574	572	2,884	2,871	72,096	71,765
2092	0	1,769	1,770	1,041	1,042	5,545	5,547	138,620	138,675	938	938	552	552	2,771	2,772	69,269	69,297
2093	0	1,700	1,709	1,000	1,006	5,327	5,356	133,185	133,906	901	906	530	533	2,662	2,677	66,553	66,913
2094	0	1,633	1,650	961	971	5,118	5,172	127,962	129,300	866	875	509	515	2,558	2,584	63,943	64,612
2095	0	1,569	1,593	923	938	4,918	4,994	122,945	124,853	832	844	489	497	2,457	2,496	61,436	62,390
2096	0	1,507	1,539	887	906	4,725	4,822	118,124	120,559	799	815	470	480	2,361	2,410	59,027	60,244
2097	0	1,448	1,486	852	874	4,540	4,656	113,492	116,412	768	787	452	463	2,269	2,327	56,713	58,172
2098	0	1,392	1,435	819	844	4,362	4,496	109,042	112,408	738	760	434	447	2,180	2,247	54,489	56,171
2099	0	1,337	1,385	787	815	4,191	4,342	104,767	108,542	709	734	417	432	2,094	2,170	52,352	54,239
_																	
Total/Peak:	595,000	11,139	8,854	6,556	5,211	34,913	27,751	872,826	693,766	5,904	4,693	3,475	2,762	17,446	13,867	436,156	346,679

Notes:

Landfill Gas Collection System Efficiency= 0.53

Upper Limit Parameters: k= 0.046 year-1, Lo= 144 m³ methane/tonne of waste. Lower Limit Parameters: k= 0.04 year-1, Lo= 125 m³ methane/tonne of waste.



	Upper Limit	Lower Limit
	r	າ3/hr
Max Recovered volume for Engine Capacity	5,90	4 4,693
Engine Capacity Factor	85%	6
Engine Flow Capacity	5,018	3,989

Start YearEnd YearEngine at Max Capacity20422050





Project Scenario #1 GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

	Tonnage	LFG Produ (Fig	ction (m³/hr) ure 1)	LFG Prod (Fig	luction (cfm) jure 1)	n) Annual Methane Production				LFG Recovery (m³/hr) (Figure 1) LFG Recovery (cfm) (Figure 1)			overy (cfm) ure 1)	Annual Methane Offsets (Figure 2)				
Year	A					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes)	CO _{2e} /year)					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes)	CO _{2e} /year)	
	Annuai Decomposable (tonnes)	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	
2024	595,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2025	595,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2026	595,000	881	667	519	393	2,763	2,091	69,071	52,277	749	567	441	334	2,226	1,684	55,639	42,111	
2027	595,000	1,723	1,308	1,014	770	5,401	4,100	135,037	102,504	1,465	1,112	862	654	4,351	3,303	108,777	82,570	
2028	595,000	2,527	1,924	1,488	1,132	7,921	6,030	198,037	150,761	2,148	1,635	1,264	963	6,381	4,858	159,526	121,444	
2029	595,000	3,295	2,516	1,939	1,481	10,328	7,885	258,204	197,127	2,801	2,138	1,649	1,259	8,320	6,352	207,993	158,793	
2030	595,000	4,029	3,084	2,371	1,815	12,627	9,667	315,667	241,674	3,424	2,622	2,015	1,543	10,171	7,787	254,281	194,677	
2031	595,000	4,729	3,630	2,783	2,137	14,822	11,379	370,546	284,474	4,020	3,086	2,366	1,816	11,940	9,166	298,488	229,155	
2032	595,000	5,398	4,155	3,177	2,446	16,918	13,024	422,958	325,597	4,588	3,532	2,700	2,079	13,628	10,491	340,708	262,280	
2033	595,000	6,037	4,659	3,553	2,742	18,921	14,604	473,014	365,107	5,131	3,961	3,020	2,331	15,241	11,764	381,030	294,107	
2034	595,000	6,647	5,144	3,912	3,028	20,833	16,123	520,819	403,067	5,650	4,372	3,325	2,573	16,782	12,987	419,539	324,686	
2035	595,000	7,229	5,609	4,255	3,302	22,659	17,582	566,475	439,540	6,145	4,768	3,617	2,806	18,253	14,163	456,316	354,065	
2036	595,000	7,786	6,057	4,583	3,565	24,403	18,983	610,078	474,582	6,618	5,148	3,895	3,030	19,658	15,292	491,440	382,293	
2037	595,000	8,317	6,486	4,895	3,818	26,069	20,330	651,721	508,250	7,070	5,513	4,161	3,245	20,999	16,377	524,985	409,414	
2038	595,000	8,825	6,899	5,194	4,061	27,660	21,624	691,492	540,598	7,501	5,864	4,415	3,452	22,281	17,419	557,022	435,471	
2039	595,000	9,309	7,296	5,479	4,294	29,179	22,867	729,475	5/1,6/8	7,913	6,201	4,657	3,650	23,505	18,420	587,619	460,507	
2040	595,000	9,772	7,677	5,752	4,518	30,630	24,062	765,750	601,539	8,307	6,525	4,889	3,841	24,674	19,382	616,840	484,561	
2041	595,000	10,215	8,043	6,012	4,734	32,016	25,209	800,395	630,229	8,682	6,836	5,110	4,024	25,790	20,307	644,747	507,672	
2042	595,000	10,637	8,395	6,261	4,941	33,339	26,312	833,482	657,794	9,041	7,135	5,322	4,200	26,856	21,195	671,400	529,877	
2043	595,000	11,040	0,733	0,490 6,705	5,140	34,003	21,311	000,001	004,270	9,364	7,423	0,023 5,746	4,309	21,014	22,040	090,004	551,211	
2044	000 0	11,420	9,007	6,723	ک,کک ا 5 5 1 5	30,010	20,303	090,209	709,724	9,711	7,699	5,710	4,531	20,047	22,000	777 281	5/1,/09	
2045	0	17,793	9,309	7 1 / 9	5,515	30,903	29,307	924,001	757 662	10,024	7,904 9.210	5,900	4,007	29,113	23,030	744,301	591,402	
2040	0	12,144	9,009	6 827	5 468	36,004	20,300	951,007	727 053	0,850	7 807	5,070	4,837	20,002	24,415	732,002	586 303	
2047	0	11,090	9,290	6,520	5 254	34 710	23,110	900,02J 867 066	600 /10	9,009	7,097	5,005	4,040	25,204	23,430	600 178	563 400	
2040	0	10.570	0,920	6.227	5,234	22 159	21,370	929 044	671 095	9,415	7,007	5,042	4,400	27,307	22,000	667 745	541 200	
2049	0	10,379	8 240	5 947	4 850	31 667	25,875	791 676	645 637	8 588	7,209	5,295	4,230	25,710	21,052	637 724	520.084	
2050	0	9.6/9	7 916	5,547	4,050	30.243	23,023	756 084	620 321	8 202	6 729	4 827	3 961	23,303	10 088	609.053	100 601	
2052	0	9 215	7,510	5 424	4,000	28 884	23,840	722 092	595 998	7 833	6 465	4 610	3 805	23,267	19 204	581 671	480 098	
2053	0 0	8 801	7 308	5 180	4 301	27 585	22,040	689 628	572 628	7,880	6 212	4 403	3,656	20,201	18 451	555 521	461 273	
2054	0	8 405	7,000	4 947	4 133	26 345	22,303	658 624	550 175	7,401	5 968	4 205	3 513	21 222	17 727	530 545	443 186	
2055	0	8 027	6 746	4 725	3 971	25,540	21 144	629 013	528 603	6 823	5 734	4,200	3 375	20,268	17 032	506 693	425 809	
2056	0	7 667	6 481	4 512	3 815	24 029	20,315	600 734	507 876	6,517	5 509	3 835	3 243	19.357	16 364	483 913	409 112	
2057	0	7 322	6 227	4 309	3 665	22.949	19,518	573.726	487.962	6 224	5 293	3 663	3 115	18,486	15,723	462,157	393.071	
2058	0	6 993	5 983	4 116	3 522	21.917	18,753	547.933	468.828	5 944	5 086	3 498	2 993	17,655	15,106	441.380	377.658	
2059	0	6.678	5,749	3.931	3.383	20.932	18.018	523.299	450.445	5.677	4,886	3.341	2.876	16.861	14.514	421.536	362.850	
2060	0	6.378	5.523	3.754	3.251	19.991	17.311	499.772	432.783	5.421	4,695	3,191	2,763	16.103	13.945	402.585	348.623	
2061	_0	6.091	5.307	3.585		19.092	16,633	477,303	415,813	5.178	4,511	3.047	2.655	15.379	13,398	384,485	334,953	
2062	0	5.817	5.098	3.424	3.001	18.234	15.980	455.845	399.509	4.945	4.334	2.910	2.551	14.688	12.873	367.200	321.819	
2063	0	5,556	4.899	3.270	2.883	17,414	15.354	435.351	383.844	4.723	4,164	2,780	2,451	14.028	12.368	350.691	309.201	
2064	0	5.306	4.707	3.123	2.770	16.631	14.752	415.778	368.793	4.510	4.001	2.655	2.355	13.397	11.883	334,925	297.077	
2065	0	5,068	4.522	2,983	2.662	15.883	14.173	397.086	354.333	4.307	3,844	2,535	2,262	12.795	11.417	319.867	285.428	
2066	0	4,840	4,345	2,849	2,557	15,169	13,618	379,234	340,439	4,114	3,693	2,421	2,174	12,219	10,969	305,487	274,236	

Project Scenario #1 GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

	Tonnage	LFG Produ (Fig	uction (m³/hr) Jure 1)	LFG Prod (Fig	luction (cfm) jure 1)	Annual Methane Production			LFG Reco (Fig	overy (m³/hr) gure 1)	r) LFG Recovery (cfm) (Figure 1)		Annual Methane Offsets (Figure 2)				
Year	Annual					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes (CO _{2e} /year)					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes	CO _{2e} /year)
	Decomposable (tonnes)	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters								
2067	0	4,622	4,174	2,721	2,457	14,487	13,084	362,184	327,090	3,929	3,548	2,312	2,088	11,670	10,539	291,752	263,483
2068	0	4,414	4,011	2,598	2,361	13,836	12,571	345,901	314,265	3,752	3,409	2,208	2,006	11,145	10,126	278,636	253,152
2069	0	4,216	3,853	2,481	2,268	13,214	12,078	330,350	301,943	3,584	3,275	2,109	1,928	10,644	9,729	266,109	243,226
2070	0	4,026	3,702	2,370	2,179	12,620	11,604	315,498	290,103	3,422	3,147	2,014	1,852	10,166	9,348	254,145	233,689
2071	0	3,845	3,557	2,263	2,094	12,053	11,149	301,314	278,728	3,269	3,024	1,924	1,780	9,709	8,981	242,719	224,526
2072	0	3,672	3,418	2,162	2,012	11,511	10,712	287,767	267,799	3,122	2,905	1,837	1,710	9,272	8,629	231,807	215,722
2073	0	3,507	3,284	2,064	1,933	10,993	10,292	274,830	257,298	2,981	2,791	1,755	1,643	8,855	8,291	221,386	207,263
2074	0	3,350	3,155	1,972	1,857	10,499	9,888	262,474	247,210	2,847	2,682	1,676	1,578	8,457	7,965	211,433	199,136
2075	0	3,199	3,031	1,883	1,784	10,027	9,501	250,674	237,516	2,719	2,576	1,600	1,516	8,077	7,653	201,927	191,328
2076	0	3,055	2,912	1,798	1,714	9,576	9,128	239,404	228,203	2,597	2,475	1,529	1,457	7,714	7,353	192,849	183,826
2077	0	2,918	2,798	1,717	1,647	9,146	8,770	228,641	219,255	2,480	2,378	1,460	1,400	7,367	7,065	184,179	176,618
2078	0	2,787	2,688	1,640	1,582	8,734	8,426	218,362	210,658	2,369	2,285	1,394	1,345	7,036	6,788	175,898	169,693
2079	0	2,661	2,583	1,566	1,520	8,342	8,096	208,545	202,398	2,262	2,196	1,331	1,292	6,720	6,522	167,990	163,039
2080	0	2,542	2,482	1,496	1,461	7,967	7,778	199,169	194,462	2,161	2,109	1,272	1,242	6,418	6,266	160,438	156,646
2081	0	2,428	2,384	1,429	1,403	7,609	7,473	190,215	186,837	2,063	2,027	1,214	1,193	6,129	6,020	153,225	150,504
2082	0	2,318	2,291	1,365	1,348	7,267	7,180	181,663	179,511	1,971	1,947	1,160	1,146	5,853	5,784	146,336	144,603
2083	0	2,214	2,201	1,303	1,296	6,940	6,899	173,496	172,472	1,882	1,871	1,108	1,101	5,590	5,557	139,757	138,933
2084	0	2,115	2,115	1,245	1,245	6,628	6,628	165,696	165,710	1,797	1,798	1,058	1,058	5,339	5,339	133,474	133,485
2085	0	2,020	2,032	1,189	1,196	6,330	6,368	158,246	159,212	1,717	1,727	1,010	1,017	5,099	5,130	127,473	128,251
2086	0	1,929	1,952	1,135	1,149	6,045	6,119	151,132	152,969	1,639	1,659	965	977	4,870	4,929	121,742	123,222
2087	0	1,842	1,876	1,084	1,104	5,773	5,879	144,337	146,971	1,566	1,594	922	938	4,651	4,736	116,269	118,391
2088	0	1,759	1,802	1,035	1,061	5,514	5,648	137,848	141,208	1,495	1,532	880	902	4,442	4,550	111,042	113,749
2089	0	1,680	1,731	989	1,019	5,266	5,427	131,651	135,672	1,428	1,472	841	866	4,242	4,372	106,049	109,288
2090	0	1,605	1,664	944	979	5,029	5,214	125,732	130,352	1,364	1,414	803	832	4,051	4,200	101,282	105,003
2091	0	1,532	1,598	902	941	4,803	5,010	120,079	125,241	1,303	1,359	767	800	3,869	4,035	96,728	100,886
2092	0	1,464	1,536	861	904	4,587	4,813	114,681	120,330	1,244	1,305	732	768	3,695	3,877	92,380	96,930
2093	0	1,398	1,475	823	868	4,381	4,624	109,525	115,612	1,188	1,254	699	738	3,529	3,725	88,226	93,129
2094	0	1,335	1,418	786	834	4,184	4,443	104,601	111,078	1,135	1,205	668	709	3,370	3,579	84,260	89,478
2095	0	1,275	1,362	750	802	3,996	4,269	99,898	106,723	1,084	1,158	638	681	3,219	3,439	80,472	85,969
2096	0	1,218	1,309	717	770	3,816	4,102	95,407	102,538	1,035	1,112	609	655	3,074	3,304	76,854	82,598
2097	0	1,163	1,257	684	740	3,645	3,941	91,118	98,518	988	1,069	582	629	2,936	3,174	73,399	79,360
2098	0	1,111	1,208	654	711	3,481	3,786	87,021	94,655	944	1,027	556	604	2,804	3,050	70,099	76,248
2099	0	1,061	1,161	624	683	3,324	3,638	83,109	90,943	902	987	531	581	2,678	2,930	66,947	73,258
Total/Peak:	595 000	12 144	9 669	7 148	5 691	38 064	30 306	951 607	757 662	10 323	8 219	6 076	4 837	30,662	24,413	766 555	610.324

Notes:

Landfill Gas Collection System Efficiency= 0.85

Upper Limit Parameters: k= 0.046 year-1, Lo= 144 m³ methane/tonne of waste. Lower Limit Parameters: k= 0.04 year-1, Lo= 125 m³ methane/tonne of waste.





Project Scenario #2 GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

	Tonnage	LFG Produ (Fig	uction (m³/hr) uure 1)	LFG Prod (Fig	luction (cfm) jure 1)	Annual Methane Production		LFG Reco (Fig	overy (m³/hr) jure 1)	LFG Rec (Fig	overy (cfm) jure 1)	A	nnual Methane	Offsets (Figure	e 2)		
Year	Annual					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes)	CO _{2e} /year)					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes	CO _{2e} /year)
	Decomposable (tonnes)	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters														
2024	595,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2025	595,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2026	595,000	881	667	519	393	2,763	2,091	69,071	52,277	749	567	441	334	2,214	1,676	55,354	41,895
2027	595,000	1,723	1,308	1,014	770	5,401	4,100	135,037	102,504	1,465	1,112	862	654	4,329	3,286	108,220	82,148
2028	595,000	2,527	1,924	1,488	1,132	7,921	6,030	198,037	150,761	2,148	1,635	1,264	963	6,348	4,833	158,709	120,822
2029	595,000	3,295	2,516	1,939	1,481	10,328	7,885	258,204	197,127	2,801	2,138	1,649	1,259	8,277	6,319	206,929	157,980
2030	595,000	4,029	3,084	2,371	1,815	12,627	9,667	315,667	241,674	3,424	2,622	2,015	1,543	10,119	7,747	252,980	193,681
2031	595,000	4,729	3,630	2,783	2,137	14,822	11,379	370,546	284,474	4,020	3,086	2,366	1,816	11,878	9,119	296,961	227,982
2032	595,000	5,398	4,155	3,177	2,446	16,918	13,024	422,958	325,597	4,588	3,532	2,700	2,079	13,559	10,438	338,965	260,938
2033	595,000	6,037	4,659	3,553	2,742	18,921	14,604	473,014	365,107	5,131	3,961	3,020	2,331	15,163	11,704	379,080	292,602
2034	595,000	6,647	5,144	3,912	3,028	20,833	16,123	520,819	403,067	5,650	4,372	3,325	2,573	16,696	12,921	417,392	323,024
2035	595,000	7,229	5,609	4,255	3,302	22,659	17,582	566,475	439,540	6,145	4,768	3,617	2,806	18,159	14,090	453,981	352,253
2036	595,000	7,786	6,057	4,583	3,565	24,403	18,983	610,078	474,582	6,618	5,148	3,895	3,030	19,557	15,213	488,925	380,337
2037	595,000	8,317	6,486	4,895	3,818	26,069	20,330	651,721	508,250	7,070	5,513	4,161	3,245	20,892	16,293	522,299	407,319
2038	595,000	8,825	6,899	5,194	4,061	27,660	21,624	691,492	540,598	7,501	5,864	4,415	3,452	22,167	17,330	554,172	433,243
2039	595,000	9,309	7,296	5,479	4,294	29,179	22,867	729,475	571,678	7,913	6,201	4,657	3,650	23,384	18,326	584,612	458,150
2040	595,000	9,772	7,677	5,752	4,518	30,630	24,062	765,750	601,539	8,307	6,525	4,889	3,841	24,547	19,283	613,683	482,081
2041	595,000	10,215	8,043	6,012	4,734	32,016	25,209	800,395	630,229	8,682	6,836	5,110	4,024	25,658	20,203	641,447	505,074
2042	595,000	10,637	8,395	6,261	4,941	33,339	26,312	833,482	657,794	9,041	7,135	5,322	4,200	26,719	21,087	667,964	527,165
2043	595,000	11,040	8,733	6,498	5,140	34,603	27,371	865,081	684,278	9,384	7,423	5,523	4,369	27,732	21,936	693,288	548,390
2044	595,000	11,425	9,057	6,725	5,331	35,810	28,389	895,259	709,724	9,711	7,699	5,716	4,531	28,699	22,751	717,473	568,783
2045	0	11,793	9,369	6,941	5,515	36,963	29,367	924,081	734,172	10,024	7,964	5,900	4,687	29,623	23,535	740,572	588,376
2046	0	12,144	9,669	7,148	5,691	38,064	30,306	951,607	757,062	10,323	8,219	6,076	4,837	30,505	24,288	702,032	607,201
2047	0	11,098	9,290	0,827	5,468	30,303	29,118	908,823	121,953	9,859	7,897	5,803	4,048	29,134	23,330	728,343	563,392
2048	0	10,570	8,920	6,520	5,254	34,719	21,970	807,900	639,410	9,415	7,387	5,542	4,400	27,824	22,421	695,600	500,517
2049	0	10,579	0,070	5.047	0,040 1 950	33,130	20,079	020,944	645 627	0,992	7,209	5,295	4,290	20,373	21,342	624,327	536,539
2050	0	0.640	0,240	5,947	4,000	31,007	20,020	756 094	620 221	0,000	6,720	3,033	4,122	23,378	20,097	605 026	J17,422
2051	0	9,049	7,910	5 121	4,009	30,243 29.99 <i>4</i>	24,013	700,004	505 008	0,202	6,729	4,027	3,901	24,237	19,000	578 605	497,134
2052	0	8,213	7,000	5 180	4,477	20,004	23,040	689 628	572 628	7,033	6 212	4,010	3,600	23,140	18 356	552 678	477,041
2053	0	8 405	7,300	J 047	4,501	27,303	22,303	658 624	550 175	7,401	5 968	4,403	3,000	22,107	17,637	527 830	430,912
2054	0	8 027	6 746	4,347	3 071	20,343	22,007	629.013	528 603	6 823	5,500	4,203	3 375	21,113	16 945	504 100	440,910
2055	0	7 667	6 481	4 512	3,815	23,101	20 315	600 734	507 876	6 5 1 7	5 509	3,835	3 243	19 257	16 281	481 437	407 019
2050	0	7 322	6 227	4 309	3,665	27,023	19 518	573 726	487 962	6 224	5 293	3,663	3 115	18 392	15 642	459 792	391 059
2058	0	6 993	5 983	4 116	3 522	21 917	18 753	547 933	468 828	5 944	5.086	3 498	2 993	17 565	15,042	439 121	375 726
2059	0	6 678	5 749	3 931	3 383	20.932	18 018	523 299	450,620	5 677	4 886	3 341	2,000	16 775	14 440	419 379	360 993
2060	0	6.378	5 523	3 754	3 251	19,991	17,311	499,772	432,783	5 421	4 695	3 191	2,373	16.021	13.874	400,524	346,838
2061	_0	6 091	5 307	3 585	3 123	19.092	16.633	477.303	415.813	_5 178	4 511	3 047	2 655	15.301	13.330	382.518	333,239
2062	_0	5 817	5 098	3 424	3 001	18,234	15.980	455.845	399.509	4 945	4 334	2 910	2,551	14.613	12.807	365.320	320,172
2063	_0	5.556		3.270	2.883	17.414	15.354	435.351	383.844	4.723	4.164	2,780	2.451	13.956	12,305	348.896	307.618
2064	_0	5.306	4.707	3.123	2.770	16.631	14.752	415.778	368.793	4.510	4.001	2.655	2.355	13.328	11.822	333.211	295.556
2065	0	5.068	4.522	2.983	2.662	15.883	14.173	397.086	354.333	4.307	3.844	2.535	2.262	12.729	11.359	318.230	283.967
2066	0	4,840	4,345	2,849	2,557	15,169	13,618	379,234	340,439	4,114	3,693	2,421	2,174	12,157	10,913	303,923	272,833

Project Scenario #2 GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

Anna Anna <th< th=""><th></th><th colspan="2">LFG Production (m³/hr)(Figure 1)</th><th>LFG Prod (Fig</th><th>luction (cfm) gure 1)</th><th></th><th>Annual Meth</th><th>ane Production</th><th></th><th>LFG Reco (Fig</th><th>overy (m³/hr) gure 1)</th><th>LFG Rec (Fig</th><th>overy (cfm) ure 1)</th><th>A</th><th>nnual Methane</th><th>Offsets (Figure</th><th>e 2)</th></th<>		LFG Production (m³/hr)(Figure 1)		LFG Prod (Fig	luction (cfm) gure 1)		Annual Meth	ane Production		LFG Reco (Fig	overy (m³/hr) gure 1)	LFG Rec (Fig	overy (cfm) ure 1)	A	nnual Methane	Offsets (Figure	e 2)	
Deciminary Specified <	Year	Annual					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes (CO _{2e} /year)					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes)	CO _{2e} /year)
9207 0 4.422 4.174 2.271 2.447 13.044 32.029 3.9.2 3.9.29 3.9.20 2.100 11.6.10 10.445 22009 22.031 2.008 10.401 10.445 22009 22.031 2.008 10.309 9.773 227.210 22.03 2.008 10.309 9.773 22.147 22.031 2.008 10.309 9.773 22.147 22.031 2.008 10.309 9.73 22.147 22.031 2.001 22.031 2.001 22.031 2.011 11.149 30.548 3.776 2.014 11.041 9.0459 22.324 2.041 1.922 0.659 8.235 22.147 22.777 3.224 3.247 2.241 1.785 1.643 8.310 8.246 22.0323 2.0351 1.337 1.714 9.735 2.1178 1.714 9.745 2.126 2.247 2.271 2.247 2.271 2.247 2.271 2.247 2.271 2.247 2.178 1.6441 7.749		Decomposable (tonnes)	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters														
908 0 4.44 4.011 2.589 2.381 12.371 13.590 31.762 3.372 2.008 2.008 11.088 10.074 27.71 25.81 2070 0 4.026 3.722 2.270 2.128 11.244 30.326 30.141 3.422 3.147 2.014 13.52 11.041 3.52 3.147 2.014 13.52 11.041 3.52 3.147 2.014 13.52 11.041 3.52 3.424 3.427 3.418 2.712 3.22 3.417 2.014 13.52 11.041 3.52 3.52 3.52 3.55 3.55 3.55 3.55 1.735 1.148 0.104 2.7279 3.722 3.418 2.0025 0.665 2.0025 2.0035 1.930 1.932 1.930 1.932 1.930 1.932 1.930 1.932 1.930 1.930 1.930 1.930 1.930 1.930 1.930 1.930 1.930 1.930 1.930 1.930 1.930	2067	0	4,622	4,174	2,721	2,457	14,487	13,084	362,184	327,090	3,929	3,548	2,312	2,088	11,610	10,485	290,259	262,135
208 0 4.216 38.83 2.2481 2.268 13.214 12.078 33.336 30.143 3.276 2.109 1.825 10.590 9.679 224.77 24.77 <t< th=""><th>2068</th><th>0</th><th>4,414</th><th>4,011</th><th>2,598</th><th>2,361</th><th>13,836</th><th>12,571</th><th>345,901</th><th>314,265</th><th>3,752</th><th>3,409</th><th>2,208</th><th>2,006</th><th>11,088</th><th>10,074</th><th>277,210</th><th>251,856</th></t<>	2068	0	4,414	4,011	2,598	2,361	13,836	12,571	345,901	314,265	3,752	3,409	2,208	2,006	11,088	10,074	277,210	251,856
2070 0 4.006 3.702 2.270 2.170 12.620 11.644 327.62 3.422 3.447 2.014 1.822 10.14 0.300 252.845 232.44 2077 0 3.672 3.672 3.478 2.462 2.012 11.511 10.712 287.787 267.789 3.122 2.905 1.837 1.710 9.225 5.68 230.621 216.5 2073 0 3.350 3.165 1.877 1.099 0.822 274.80 2.681 2.714 1.768 1.843 8.104 7.025 2.00.61 1.819 3.011 1.883 1.774 9.038 2.847 2.481 2.719 2.786 1.600 1.516 8.036 7.614 2.084 1.930 7.614 2.084 1.930 7.614 2.081 1.600 7.50 7.614 2.081 1.630 7.00 6.733 17.498 1.832 1.632 1.630 1.630 6.635 6.644 1.611.61 1.61	2069	0	4,216	3,853	2,481	2,268	13,214	12,078	330,350	301,943	3,584	3,275	2,109	1,928	10,590	9,679	264,747	241,981
2071 0 3.845 3.557 2.263 2.094 12.053 11.149 30.314 2767.79 3.280 3.024 1.024 1.780 9.695 6.035 241.477 223.57 2072 0 3.507 3.284 2.064 1.633 10.699 1.022 287.430 277.84 2.881 2.771 1.775 1.443 8.410 5.248 2.005.3 3.005.0 9.015 2.005.3 2.005.3 9.016 2.247 2.687 2.687 1.676 1.676 4.414 7.025 2.00.50 9.014 2.000 2.778 0.414 7.025 2.00.50 9.014 2.007 2.697 2.677 1.670 7.747 2.688 1.440 1.677 7.247 1.666 1.690 7.731 19.424 1.495 1.496 1.460 1.690 7.731 19.424 1.495 1.496 1.460 1.77 7.731 19.424 1.926 2.498 2.498 1.460 1.600 1.77 7.731	2070	0	4,026	3,702	2,370	2,179	12,620	11,604	315,498	290,103	3,422	3,147	2,014	1,852	10,114	9,300	252,845	232,493
2072 0 3.672 3.481 2.162 2.102 11.511 10.712 2.877.979 3.122 2.905 1.837 1.710 9.225 8.856 230.621 21.632 2073 0 3.360 3.155 1.972 1.857 10.499 9.838 228.74 2.781 1.755 1.636 6.414 7.925 220.253 206.235 206.2376 1.670 1.578 6.414 7.925 220.253 20.837 2.761 1.676 6.006 7.614 7.9315 191.857 191.857 191.857 191.857 1.589 1.460 7.674 7.674 7.674 7.315 191.857 191.857 10.60 1.514 1.457 7.674 7.674 7.674 7.674 1.550 1.566 1.520 8.774 2.9164 2.925 1.394 1.457 7.664 7.554 1.458 1.666 1.520 8.742 2.0564 2.9364 2.925 1.394 1.455 7.664 1.531 1.525 <td< th=""><th>2071</th><th>0</th><th>3,845</th><th>3,557</th><th>2,263</th><th>2,094</th><th>12,053</th><th>11,149</th><th>301,314</th><th>278,728</th><th>3,269</th><th>3,024</th><th>1,924</th><th>1,780</th><th>9,659</th><th>8,935</th><th>241,477</th><th>223,377</th></td<>	2071	0	3,845	3,557	2,263	2,094	12,053	11,149	301,314	278,728	3,269	3,024	1,924	1,780	9,659	8,935	241,477	223,377
2073 0 3.607 3.284 2.064 1.183 10.993 10.22 274.890 277.286 2.081 2.791 1.776 1.478 8.414 7.262 210,233 200,231 199,17 2074 0 3.199 3.031 1.188 1.774 100,27 9.601 229,744 227,756 1.600 1.516 8.036 7.614 200,894 199,31 2076 0 2.918 2.918 1.218 1.747 9.446 8.700 2.237,316 2.475 1.600 1.457 7.474 7.151 19.482 129,328 129,225 2.449 2.475 1.460 1.400 7.329 7.029 18.328 175,388 1568 1568 1568 1568 1568 1568 1568 1569 1.441 1.402 1.403 7.427 7.169 19.466 1.777 19.91 1.405 1.405 1.722 6.668 6.668 6.668 6.668 6.668 167.131 152.22	2072	0	3,672	3,418	2,162	2,012	11,511	10,712	287,767	267,799	3,122	2,905	1,837	1,710	9,225	8,585	230,621	214,618
2074 0 3.360 3.155 1.972 1.877 1.0.499 9.88 282,474 247210 2.847 2.682 1.676 1.677 1.676 1.676 1.676 1.677 1.676 1.676 1.677 1.676	2073	0	3,507	3,284	2,064	1,933	10,993	10,292	274,830	257,298	2,981	2,791	1,755	1,643	8,810	8,248	220,253	206,203
2075 0 3.199 3.031 1.483 1.794 10.027 9.501 250,674 22776 1.202 1.467 7.315 191,82 183,3 2077 0 2.918 2.788 1.777 1.647 9.146 8.704 229,841 219,255 2.460 2.378 1.460 1.400 7.339 7.029 183,238 165,238 1.777 1.647 9.146 8.704 23,982 2.265 2.198 1.345 7.000 6.733 174,998 165,23 2078 0 2.661 2.683 1.666 1.520 6.342 8.096 2.0542 2.186 1.311 1.242 6.485 6.243 156,64 156,24 2081 0 2.542 2.442 1.446 7.477 199,199 194,462 2.161 2.194 1.945 6.948 155,44 149,171 155,4 2084 0 2.318 2.291 1.365 1.425 1.562 1.579 1.435	2074	0	3,350	3,155	1,972	1,857	10,499	9,888	262,474	247,210	2,847	2,682	1,676	1,578	8,414	7,925	210,350	198,117
2076 0 30.65 2.919 2.178 1.714 91.76 9.128 228,641 228,641 228,78 1.467 7.674 7.315 191.8262 182.65 2077 0 2.787 2.688 1.840 1.582 8.734 8.428 219.555 2.480 2.285 1.984 1.345 7.000 6.753 174.998 168.93 2078 0 2.681 2.683 1.646 1.562 8.734 8.428 210.555 2.289 2.285 1.984 1.345 7.000 6.753 174.998 168.63 2080 0 2.542 2.482 1.486 1.429 1.403 7.679 7.778 199.169 194.462 2.161 2.109 1.274 1.346 6.685 6.991 1.577 1.981.462 1.971 1.947 1.168 1.016 5.662 5.591 139.411 155.80 2082 0 2.015 2.115 2.145 2.145 2.116 2.141 1.316 1.465 1.321 1.449 4.587 145.837 145.837 <t< th=""><th>2075</th><th>0</th><th>3,199</th><th>3,031</th><th>1,883</th><th>1,784</th><th>10,027</th><th>9,501</th><th>250,674</th><th>237,516</th><th>2,719</th><th>2,576</th><th>1,600</th><th>1,516</th><th>8,036</th><th>7,614</th><th>200,894</th><th>190,349</th></t<>	2075	0	3,199	3,031	1,883	1,784	10,027	9,501	250,674	237,516	2,719	2,576	1,600	1,516	8,036	7,614	200,894	190,349
2077 0 2.918 2.789 1.777 1.647 9,146 8,770 228,641 219.925 2.480 2.378 1.460 1.400 7.229 7.029 183.268 1774 2079 0 2.661 2.588 1.566 1.520 8.342 8.046 206,854 22.882 2.198 1.331 1.222 6.665 6.488 167.131 165.27 2080 0 2.542 2.482 1.498 1.461 7.967 7.778 190.158 186.637 2.003 2.027 1.214 1.60 5.628 155.64 155.755 145.577 149.578 149.275 1.686.37 179.477 1.882 1.861.71 1.108 1.101 5.62 5.575 145.577 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.542 139.55 145.571 136.571 <	2076	0	3,055	2,912	1,798	1,714	9,576	9,128	239,404	228,203	2,597	2,475	1,529	1,457	7,674	7,315	191,862	182,885
2078 0 2,787 2,688 1,640 1,582 8,734 8,426 218,382 210,685 2,289 2,384 1,345 7,000 6,753 174,998 166,23 2079 0 2,661 2,642 2,482 1,466 1,461 7,967 7,778 199,169 194,462 2,161 2,109 1,272 1,242 6,385 6,234 159,617 155,64 2089 0 2,242 2,384 1,429 1,403 7,609 7,7473 190,215 186,337 2,063 2,027 1,214 1,186 6,386 145,627 143,64 2082 0 2,214 2,201 1,303 1,286 6,428 6,628 159,417 1,882 1,871 1,106 1,101 5,662 5,529 139,42 <t< th=""><th>2077</th><th>0</th><th>2,918</th><th>2,798</th><th>1,717</th><th>1,647</th><th>9,146</th><th>8,770</th><th>228,641</th><th>219,255</th><th>2,480</th><th>2,378</th><th>1,460</th><th>1,400</th><th>7,329</th><th>7,029</th><th>183,236</th><th>175,714</th></t<>	2077	0	2,918	2,798	1,717	1,647	9,146	8,770	228,641	219,255	2,480	2,378	1,460	1,400	7,329	7,029	183,236	175,714
2079 0 2,661 2,683 1,666 1,200 8,342 8,096 208,545 202,398 2,262 2,195 1,331 1,292 6,665 6,665 6,665 6,665 6,665 6,665 6,665 6,234 155,617 155,8 2081 0 2,428 2,384 1,429 1,403 7,609 7,473 190,215 166,637 2,063 2,027 1,214 1,193 6,035 5,234 159,617 133,624 133,647 133,647 133,647 133,647 133,647 133,647 133,647 133,647 133,64 132,64 139,647 133,64 132,64 139,647 133,64 132,64 139,647 133,64 132,64 139,647 133,64 132,64 136,64 137,64 1,656 1,658 1,652 5,512 5,312 5,312 152,411 132,68 132,64 1,656 1,656 1,67 1,645 1,659 1,656 1,717 1,010 1,017 5,073 5,114<	2078	0	2,787	2,688	1,640	1,582	8,734	8,426	218,362	210,658	2,369	2,285	1,394	1,345	7,000	6,753	174,998	168,824
2080 0 2.542 2.482 1.496 1.413 7.967 7.778 199,169 194,462 2.161 2.109 1.272 1.242 6.385 6.234 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,617 159,717 1,714 1,146 1,193 6,098 5,099 152,414 149,75 2083 0 2,214 2,201 1,303 1,266 6,628 6,628 165,696 165,710 1,797 1,788 1,068 1,014 5,562 5,312 132,791 132,84 2084 0 2,020 2,032 1,189 1,196 6,628 6,628 165,740 1,797 1,727 1,101 1,017 5,073 5,144 1,826 1,797 1,727 1,010 1,017 5,073 5,141 1,5132 152,969 977 4,845 4,904	2079	0	2,661	2,583	1,566	1,520	8,342	8,096	208,545	202,398	2,262	2,196	1,331	1,292	6,685	6,488	167,131	162,205
2081 0 2.428 2.384 1.429 1.403 7.607 7.473 190.215 186.837 2.063 2.027 1.214 1.136 6.098 5.989 152.441 149.73 2082 0 2.214 2.201 1.385 1.348 7.267 7.180 181.663 179.511 1.971 1.947 1.106 1.101 5.623 5.755 152.41 145.957 145.957 145.957 130.92 138.22 2084 0 2.115 2.115 1.245 1.245 6.628 6.628 165.696 155.710 1.777 1.787 1.008 1.017 5.512 5.312 132.04 132.04 2086 0 1.929 1.952 1.135 1.149 6.045 6.119 151.132 152.969 1.659 965 977 4.845 4.904 121.119 122.55 2087 0 1.661 1.764 1.773 5.679 144.377 146.971 1.566 1.594 922 938 4.627 4.171 115.674 117.77 173.713 <t< th=""><th>2080</th><th>0</th><th>2,542</th><th>2,482</th><th>1,496</th><th>1,461</th><th>7,967</th><th>7,778</th><th>199,169</th><th>194,462</th><th>2,161</th><th>2,109</th><th>1,272</th><th>1,242</th><th>6,385</th><th>6,234</th><th>159,617</th><th>155,845</th></t<>	2080	0	2,542	2,482	1,496	1,461	7,967	7,778	199,169	194,462	2,161	2,109	1,272	1,242	6,385	6,234	159,617	155,845
2082 0 2,318 2,291 1,365 1,348 7,67 7,180 181,683 179,511 1,971 1,947 1,160 1,146 5,823 5,755 145,567 143,86 2083 0 2,214 2,201 1,303 1,296 6,629 165,660 165,710 1,797 1,160 1,145 5,622 5,529 139,042 138,2791 132,86 2084 0 2,020 2,032 1,189 1,196 6,330 6,368 158,246 159,212 1,717 1,727 1,010 1,017 5,073 5,104 128,821 127,55 2086 0 1,929 1,952 1,135 1,149 6,019 15,132 15,299 1,659 965 977 4,845 4,904 121,191 125,67 2087 0 1,842 1,876 1,085 1,061 5,514 5,642 137,848 144,307 1,465 1,552 880 902 4,419 4,527 110,473 131,114 2089 0 1,605 1,644 4,999 5,029 <th>2081</th> <th>0</th> <th>2,428</th> <th>2,384</th> <th>1,429</th> <th>1,403</th> <th>7,609</th> <th>7,473</th> <th>190,215</th> <th>186,837</th> <th>2,063</th> <th>2,027</th> <th>1,214</th> <th>1,193</th> <th>6,098</th> <th>5,989</th> <th>152,441</th> <th>149,734</th>	2081	0	2,428	2,384	1,429	1,403	7,609	7,473	190,215	186,837	2,063	2,027	1,214	1,193	6,098	5,989	152,441	149,734
2083 0 2,214 2,201 1,303 1,206 6,940 6,899 173,496 172,472 1,882 1,871 1,108 1,101 5,562 5,529 139,042 138,22 2084 0 2,115 2,115 1,245 1,245 6,628 6,628 156,966 165,710 1,797 1,798 1,058 1,058 5,512 5,312 5,312 32,791 122,65 2086 0 1,929 1,952 1,135 1,149 6,045 6,119 15,132 152,969 1,659 965 977 4,845 4,904 121,119 122,53 2087 0 1,842 1,876 1,084 1,731 989 1,019 5,266 5,427 131,651 135,672 1,428 1,472 841 866 4,220 4,349 105,507 108,77 2089 0 1,605 1,664 944 979 5,029 5,214 125,732 130,352 1,364 1,414 803 832 4,031 4,149 100,763 104,44 <t< th=""><th>2082</th><th>0</th><th>2,318</th><th>2,291</th><th>1,365</th><th>1,348</th><th>7,267</th><th>7,180</th><th>181,663</th><th>179,511</th><th>1,971</th><th>1,947</th><th>1,160</th><th>1,146</th><th>5,823</th><th>5,755</th><th>145,587</th><th>143,863</th></t<>	2082	0	2,318	2,291	1,365	1,348	7,267	7,180	181,663	179,511	1,971	1,947	1,160	1,146	5,823	5,755	145,587	143,863
2084 0 2,115 2,115 1,245 1,245 6,628 6,628 165,696 165,710 1,797 1,798 1,058 1,058 5,312 5,312 5,312 132,791 132,83 2085 0 2,020 2,032 1,189 1,196 6,030 6,368 158,246 159,212 1,717 1,727 1,010 1,017 5,073 5,104 128,82 127,53 2086 0 1,799 1,842 1,876 1,084 1,104 5,773 5,879 144,337 146,971 1,566 1,594 922 938 4,627 4,711 115,674 117,77 2088 0 1,680 1,731 989 1,095 1,566 1,594 1,422 880 902 4,419 4,527 110,473 113,113 113,567 2090 0 1,665 1,664 944 979 5,029 5,214 120,791 122,841 1,305 1364 1,414 803 832 4,031 4,179 100,763 104,44 2091	2083	0	2,214	2,201	1,303	1,296	6,940	6,899	173,496	172,472	1,882	1,871	1,108	1,101	5,562	5,529	139,042	138,222
2085 0 2,020 2,022 1,189 1,196 6,330 6,368 158,246 159,212 1,717 1,727 1,010 1,017 5,073 5,104 126,821 127,55 2086 0 1,929 1,952 1,135 1,149 6,045 6,119 151,132 152,969 1,659 995 977 4,845 4,904 121,119 122,51 2087 0 1,842 1,876 1,004 1,104 5,773 5,514 5,648 137,848 141,208 1,495 1,532 880 902 4,419 4,527 110,473 113,161 2088 0 1,650 1,644 944 979 5,029 5,214 125,732 130,352 1,428 1,472 841 866 4,220 4,349 100,5507 108,72 2090 0 1,655 1,664 944 979 5,029 5,214 125,732 130,352 1,364 1,414 866 4,220 4,349 100,753 100,37 2091 0 1,658 861	2084	0	2,115	2,115	1,245	1,245	6,628	6,628	165,696	165,710	1,797	1,798	1,058	1,058	5,312	5,312	132,791	132,802
2086 0 1.929 1.952 1.135 1.149 6.045 6.119 151,132 152,969 1.659 965 977 4.845 4.904 121,119 122,57 2087 0 1.842 1.876 1.004 1.005 5.73 5.879 144,337 146,971 1.566 1.594 922 938 4.627 4,111 115,674 117,77 2088 0 1.759 1.802 1.0035 1.061 5,514 5,648 137,848 141,208 1.495 1,532 880 902 4,419 4,527 110,473 113,16 2090 0 1.605 1.664 944 979 5,029 5,214 125,732 130,352 1,364 1,414 803 832 4,031 4,179 100,763 104,44 2091 0 1.522 1.588 902 941 4,803 5,010 120,079 125,241 1,303 1,359 767 800 3,849 4,015 96,233 100,33 2092 0 1,464 1,536	2085	0	2,020	2,032	1,189	1,196	6,330	6,368	158,246	159,212	1,717	1,727	1,010	1,017	5,073	5,104	126,821	127,595
2087 0 1,842 1,876 1,084 1,104 5,773 5,879 144,337 146,971 1,566 1,594 922 938 4,627 4,711 115,674 117,77 2088 0 1,759 1,802 1.035 1.061 5,144 5,648 137,848 141,208 1,495 1,532 880 902 4,419 4,527 110,473 113,17 2089 0 1,605 1,664 944 979 5,029 5,241 125,732 130,352 1,428 1,414 803 826 4,031 4,179 105,076 104,44 2091 0 1,532 1,598 902 941 4,803 5,010 120,079 125,241 1,303 1,359 767 800 3,849 4,015 96,233 100,35 2092 0 1,444 1,536 861 904 4,587 4,813 114,681 120,300 1,244 1,305 732 768 3,676 3,857 91,907 96,43 2094 0 1,335 <	2086	0	1,929	1,952	1,135	1,149	6,045	6,119	151,132	152,969	1,639	1,659	965	977	4,845	4,904	121,119	122,592
2088 0 1,759 1,802 1,035 1,061 5,514 5,648 137,848 141,208 1,495 1,532 880 902 4,419 4,527 110,473 113,171 2089 0 1,660 1,731 989 1,019 5,266 5,427 131,651 135,672 1,428 1,472 841 866 4,220 4,349 105,507 108,77 2090 0 1,605 1,664 944 979 5,029 5,214 125,732 130,352 1,364 1,414 803 832 4,031 4,179 100,763 104,87 2091 0 1,464 1,536 861 904 4,587 4,813 114,681 120,309 1,244 1,305 732 768 3,676 3,857 91,907 96,43 2092 0 1,464 1,536 861 904 4,587 4,813 114,681 120,303 1,244 1,305 732 768 3,576 3,857 91,907 96,43 2093 0 1,335 <td< th=""><th>2087</th><th>0</th><th>1,842</th><th>1,876</th><th>1,084</th><th>1,104</th><th>5,773</th><th>5,879</th><th>144,337</th><th>146,971</th><th>1,566</th><th>1,594</th><th>922</th><th>938</th><th>4,627</th><th>4,711</th><th>115,674</th><th>117,785</th></td<>	2087	0	1,842	1,876	1,084	1,104	5,773	5,879	144,337	146,971	1,566	1,594	922	938	4,627	4,711	115,674	117,785
2089 0 1,680 1,731 989 1,019 5,266 5,427 131,651 135,672 1,428 1,472 841 866 4,220 4,349 105,507 108,72 2090 0 1,605 1,664 944 979 5,029 5,214 125,732 130,352 1,364 1,414 803 832 4,031 4,179 100,763 104,44 2091 0 1,544 1,536 861 904 4,803 5,010 120,079 125,241 1,305 767 800 3,849 4,015 96,33 100,763 2092 0 1,464 1,536 861 904 4,587 4,813 120,079 125,241 1,305 732 768 3,676 3,857 91,907 96,43 2093 0 1,335 1,418 786 834 4,184 4,443 104,601 111,078 1,135 1,205 668 709 3,353 3,561 83,829 89,02 2095 0 1,275 1,362 750 802 <th>2088</th> <th>0</th> <th>1,759</th> <th>1,802</th> <th>1,035</th> <th>1,061</th> <th>5,514</th> <th>5,648</th> <th>137,848</th> <th>141,208</th> <th>1,495</th> <th>1,532</th> <th>880</th> <th>902</th> <th>4,419</th> <th>4,527</th> <th>110,473</th> <th>113,166</th>	2088	0	1,759	1,802	1,035	1,061	5,514	5,648	137,848	141,208	1,495	1,532	880	902	4,419	4,527	110,473	113,166
2090 0 1,605 1,664 944 979 5,029 5,214 125,732 130,352 1,364 1,414 803 832 4,031 4,179 100,763 104,44 2091 0 1,532 1,598 902 941 4,803 5,010 120,079 125,241 1,303 1,359 767 800 3,849 4,015 96,233 100,373 2092 0 1,464 1,536 861 904 4,587 4,813 114,681 120,300 1,244 1,305 732 768 3,676 3,857 91,907 96,43 2093 0 1,398 1,475 823 868 4,381 4,624 109,525 115,612 1,188 1,254 699 738 3,511 3,706 83,829 89,02 2094 0 1,275 1,362 750 802 3,996 4,649 99,898 106,723 1,084 1,158 638 681 3,353 3,561 83,829 89,02 2095 0 1,275 1,362 <th>2089</th> <th>0</th> <th>1,680</th> <th>1,731</th> <th>989</th> <th>1,019</th> <th>5,266</th> <th>5,427</th> <th>131,651</th> <th>135,672</th> <th>1,428</th> <th>1,472</th> <th>841</th> <th>866</th> <th>4,220</th> <th>4,349</th> <th>105,507</th> <th>108,729</th>	2089	0	1,680	1,731	989	1,019	5,266	5,427	131,651	135,672	1,428	1,472	841	866	4,220	4,349	105,507	108,729
2091 0 1,532 1,598 902 941 4,803 5,010 120,079 125,241 1,303 1,359 767 800 3,849 4,015 96,233 100,373 2092 0 1,464 1,536 861 904 4,587 4,813 114,681 120,330 1,244 1,305 732 768 3,676 3,857 91,907 96,43 2093 0 1,398 1,475 823 868 4,381 4,624 109,525 115,612 1,188 1,254 699 738 3,511 3,706 87,775 92,653 2094 0 1,335 1,418 786 834 4,184 4,443 104,601 111,078 1,135 1,205 668 709 3,353 3,561 83,829 89,02 209 0 1,218 1,309 717 770 3,816 4,102 95,407 102,538 1,035 1,112 609 655 3,058 3,287 76,461 82,17 2097 0 1,163 1,257 664	2090	0	1,605	1,664	944	979	5,029	5,214	125,732	130,352	1,364	1,414	803	832	4,031	4,179	100,763	104,466
2092 0 1,464 1,536 861 904 4,587 4,813 114,681 120,330 1,244 1,305 732 768 3,676 3,857 91,907 96,43 2093 0 1,398 1,475 823 868 4,381 4,624 109,525 115,612 1,188 1,254 699 738 3,511 3,706 87,775 92,65 2094 0 1,335 1,418 786 834 4,184 4,443 104,601 111,078 1,135 1,205 668 709 3,353 3,561 83,829 89,02 2095 0 1,275 1,362 750 802 3,996 4,269 99,898 106,723 1,084 1,158 638 681 3,202 3,421 80,060 85,52 2096 0 1,163 1,257 684 740 3,645 3,941 91,118 98,518 988 1,095 552 629 2,921 3,158 73,023 78,95 2098 0 1,101 1,101	2091	0	1,532	1,598	902	941	4,803	5,010	120,079	125,241	1,303	1,359	767	800	3,849	4,015	96,233	100,370
2093 0 1,398 1,475 823 868 4,381 4,624 109,525 115,612 1,188 1,254 699 738 3,511 3,706 87,775 92,655 2094 0 1,335 1,418 786 834 4,184 4,443 104,601 111,078 1,135 1,205 668 709 3,353 3,561 83,829 89,02 2095 0 1,275 1,362 750 802 3,996 4,269 99,898 106,723 1,084 1,158 638 681 3,202 3,421 80,060 85,52 2096 0 1,218 1,309 717 770 3,816 4,102 95,407 102,538 1,035 1,112 609 655 3,058 3,287 76,461 82,177 2097 0 1,163 1,257 684 740 3,645 3,941 91,118 98,518 988 1,069 582 629 2,921 3,158 73,023 76,55 52,55 2098 0 1,061	2092	0	1,464	1,536	861	904	4,587	4,813	114,681	120,330	1,244	1,305	732	768	3,676	3,857	91,907	96,434
2094 0 1,335 1,418 786 834 4,184 4,443 104,601 111,078 1,135 1,205 668 709 3,353 3,561 83,829 89,02 2095 0 1,275 1,362 750 802 3,996 4,269 99,898 106,723 1,084 1,158 638 681 3,202 3,421 80,060 85,52 2096 0 1,218 1,309 717 770 3,816 4,102 95,407 102,538 1,035 1,112 609 655 3,058 3,287 76,461 82,17 2097 0 1,163 1,257 684 740 3,645 3,941 91,118 98,518 988 1,069 582 629 2,921 3,158 73,023 78,955 2098 0 1,111 1,208 654 711 3,481 3,786 87,021 94,655 944 1,027 556 604 2,915 66,605 72,88 2099 0 1,061 1,161 624 68	2093	0	1,398	1,475	823	868	4,381	4,624	109,525	115,612	1,188	1,254	699	738	3,511	3,706	87,775	92,653
2095 0 1,275 1,362 750 802 3,996 4,269 99,898 106,723 1,084 1,158 638 681 3,202 3,421 80,060 85,52 2096 0 1,218 1,309 717 770 3,816 4,102 95,407 102,538 1,035 1,112 609 655 3,058 3,287 76,461 82,17 2097 0 1,163 1,257 684 740 3,645 3,941 91,118 98,518 988 1,069 582 629 2,921 3,158 73,023 78,95 2098 0 1,111 1,208 654 711 3,481 3,786 87,021 94,655 944 1,027 556 604 2,790 3,034 69,740 75,85 2099 0 1,061 1,161 624 683 3,324 3,638 83,109 90,943 902 987 531 581 2,664 2,915 66,605 72,88 2099 0 1,061 1,161 624 <th>2094</th> <th>0</th> <th>1,335</th> <th>1,418</th> <th>786</th> <th>834</th> <th>4,184</th> <th>4,443</th> <th>104,601</th> <th>111,078</th> <th>1,135</th> <th>1,205</th> <th>668</th> <th>709</th> <th>3,353</th> <th>3,561</th> <th>83,829</th> <th>89,020</th>	2094	0	1,335	1,418	786	834	4,184	4,443	104,601	111,078	1,135	1,205	668	709	3,353	3,561	83,829	89,020
2096 0 1,218 1,309 717 770 3,816 4,102 95,407 102,538 1,015 1,112 609 655 3,058 3,287 76,461 82,17 2097 0 1,163 1,257 684 740 3,645 3,941 91,118 98,518 988 1,069 582 629 2,921 3,158 73,023 78,95 2098 0 1,111 1,208 654 711 3,481 3,786 87,021 94,655 944 1,027 556 604 2,790 3,034 69,740 75,85 2099 0 1,061 1,161 624 683 3,324 3,638 83,109 90,943 902 987 531 581 2,664 2,915 66,605 72,88 2099 0 1,061 1,161 624 683 3,324 3,638 83,109 90,29 987 531 581 2,664 2,915 66,605 72,88 2099 0 1,061 1,060 54.000 54.000 <th>2095</th> <th>0</th> <th>1,275</th> <th>1,362</th> <th>750</th> <th>802</th> <th>3,996</th> <th>4,269</th> <th>99,898</th> <th>106,723</th> <th>1,084</th> <th>1,158</th> <th>638</th> <th>681</th> <th>3,202</th> <th>3,421</th> <th>80,060</th> <th>85,529</th>	2095	0	1,275	1,362	750	802	3,996	4,269	99,898	106,723	1,084	1,158	638	681	3,202	3,421	80,060	85,529
2097 0 1,163 1,257 684 740 3,645 3,941 91,118 98,518 988 1,069 582 629 2,921 3,158 73,023 78,95 2098 0 1,111 1,208 654 711 3,481 3,786 87,021 94,655 944 1,027 556 604 2,790 3,034 69,740 75,85 2099 0 1,061 1,161 624 683 3,324 3,638 83,109 90,943 987 531 581 2,664 2,915 66,605 72,88 2099 0 10,01 1,161 624 683 3,204 90,943 90,943 987 531 581 2,664 2,915 66,605 72,88 2099 0 10,014 1,016 0,004 0,004 0,004 0,004 0,004 0,004 0,005 0,004 0,005 0,005 0,005 0,005 0,005 0,005 0,005 0,005 0,005 0,005 0,005 0,005 0,005 0,005	2096	0	1,218	1,309	717	770	3,816	4,102	95,407	102,538	1,035	1,112	609	655	3,058	3,287	76,461	82,176
2098 0 1,111 1,208 654 711 3,481 3,786 87,021 94,655 944 1,027 556 604 2,790 3,034 69,740 75,85 2099 0 1,061 1,161 624 683 3,324 3,638 83,109 90,943 902 987 551 581 2,664 2,915 66,605 72,88 0 1,061 1,161 624 683 20,004	2097	0	1,163	1,257	684	740	3,645	3,941	91,118	98,518	988	1,069	582	629	2,921	3,158	73,023	78,954
2099 0 1,061 1,161 624 683 3,324 3,638 83,109 90,943 902 987 531 581 2,664 2,915 66,605 72,88 Total/Deck 555.000 40.444 0.000 54.000 0.010<	2098	0	1,111	1,208	654	711	3,481	3,786	87,021	94,655	944	1,027	556	604	2,790	3,034	69,740	75,858
	2099	0	1,061	1,161	624	683	3,324	3,638	83,109	90,943	902	987	531	581	2,664	2,915	66,605	72,883
	Tetel/Deel	505.000	40.444	0.000	7.4.40	E 604	20-004	20.200	054 007	757.000	10.000	0.040	0.070	4.007	20.505	24.000	700.000	007.004

Notes:

Landfill Gas Collection System Efficiency= 0.85

Upper Limit Parameters: k= 0.046 year-1, Lo= 144 m³ methane/tonne of waste. Lower Limit Parameters: k= 0.04 year-1, Lo= 125 m³ methane/tonne of waste.



	Upper Limit	Lower Limit
	m	3/hr
Max Recovered volume for Engine Capacity	10,323	8,219
Engine Capacity Factor	85%	5
Engine Flow Capacity	8,774	6,986

Start YearEnd YearEngine at Max Capacity20422049

Page	12	of	14
. age		۰.	



Project Scenario #3 GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

	Tonnage	LFG Produ (Fig	uction (m³/hr) ure 1)	LFG Prod (Fig	luction (cfm) jure 1)	Annual Methane Production		LFG Reco (Fig	overy (m³/hr) Jure 1)	LFG Reco (Fig	overy (cfm) ure 1)	A	nnual Methane	Offsets (Figure	e 2)		
Year	Annual					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes (CO _{2e} /year)					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes)	CO _{2e} /year)
	Decomposable (tonnes)	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters														
2024	595,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2025	595,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2026	595,000	881	667	519	393	2,763	2,091	69,071	52,277	749	567	441	334	2,246	1,700	56,152	42,499
2027	595,000	1,723	1,308	1,014	770	5,401	4,100	135,037	102,504	1,465	1,112	862	654	4,391	3,333	109,779	83,331
2028	595,000	2,527	1,924	1,488	1,132	7,921	6,030	198,037	150,761	2,148	1,635	1,264	963	6,440	4,902	160,995	122,562
2029	595,000	3,295	2,516	1,939	1,481	10,328	7,885	258,204	197,127	2,801	2,138	1,649	1,259	8,396	6,410	209,909	160,255
2030	595,000	4,029	3,084	2,371	1,815	12,627	9,667	315,667	241,674	3,424	2,622	2,015	1,543	10,265	7,859	256,624	196,470
2031	595,000	4,729	3,630	2,783	2,137	14,822	11,379	370,546	284,474	4,020	3,086	2,366	1,816	12,050	9,251	301,238	231,266
2032	595,000	5,398	4,155	3,177	2,446	16,918	13,024	422,958	325,597	4,588	3,532	2,700	2,079	13,754	10,588	343,847	264,696
2033	595,000	6,037	4,659	3,553	2,742	18,921	14,604	473,014	365,107	5,131	3,961	3,020	2,331	15,382	11,873	384,540	296,816
2034	595,000	6,647	5,144	3,912	3,028	20,833	16,123	520,819	403,067	5,650	4,372	3,325	2,573	16,936	13,107	423,403	327,677
2035	595,000	7,229	5,609	4,255	3,302	22,659	17,582	566,475	439,540	6,145	4,768	3,617	2,806	18,421	14,293	460,520	357,327
2036	595,000	7,786	6,057	4,583	3,565	24,403	18,983	610,078	474,582	6,618	5,148	3,895	3,030	19,839	15,433	495,967	385,815
2037	595,000	8,317	6,486	4,895	3,818	26,069	20,330	651,721	508,250	7,070	5,513	4,161	3,245	21,193	16,527	529,821	413,185
2038	595,000	8,825	6,899	5,194	4,061	27,660	21,624	691,492	540,598	7,501	5,864	4,415	3,452	22,486	17,579	562,154	439,483
2039	595,000	9,309	7,296	5,479	4,294	29,179	22,867	729,475	5/1,6/8	7,913	6,201	4,657	3,650	23,721	18,590	593,032	464,749
2040	595,000	9,772	7,677	5,752	4,518	30,630	24,062	765,750	601,539	8,307	6,525	4,889	3,841	24,901	19,561	622,522	489,025
2041	595,000	10,215	8,043	6,012	4,734	32,016	25,209	800,395	630,229	8,682	6,836	5,110	4,024	26,027	20,494	650,686	512,349
2042	595,000	10,637	8,395	6,261	4,941	33,339	26,312	833,482	657,794	9,041	7,135	5,322	4,200	27,103	21,390	677,585	534,758
2043	595,000	11,040	8,733	6,498	5,140	34,603	27,371	865,081	684,278	9,384	7,423	5,523	4,369	28,131	22,252	703,274	556,289
2044	595,000	11,420	9,057	6,725	5,331	35,810	28,389	890,209	709,724	9,711	7,699	5,716	4,531	29,112	23,079	754.000	5/6,9/5
2045	0	11,793	9,369	6,941	5,515	36,963	29,367	924,081	734,172	10,024	7,964	5,900	4,687	30,050	23,874	751,238	596,850
2040	0	12,144	9,009	6 9 2 7	5,091	30,004 26 252	30,300 20.449	901,007	101,002	10,323	0,219	6,076 5 902	4,037	30,945	24,030	720 026	613,940 504 705
2047	0	11,390	9,290	0,027	5,400 5,254	30,333	29,110	900,020	121,955	9,009	7,097	5,005	4,040	29,000	23,012	730,030	591,795
2040	0	10,570	0,920	0,320 6 227	5,234	34,719	21,970	007,900	671 095	9,415	7,007	5,042	4,400	20,225	22,744	673 806	546 205
2049	0	10,379	8,370	5.047	3,040 4 850	33,130	20,079	701 676	645 637	0,992 8 588	7,209	5,295	4,290	20,950	21,052	643 500	524 875
2050	0	0,103	7 016	5,547	4,050	30.243	23,023	756 084	620 321	8 202	6 729	4 827	3 061	23,744	20,333	614 664	504 204
2057	0	9,049	7,510	5,079	4,000	28 88/	23.840	722 002	505 008	7 833	6 / 65	4,027	3 805	24,307	10 381	587.030	184 520
2052	0	8 801	7 308	5 180	4 301	20,004	23,040	689 628	572 628	7,000	6 212	4,010	3,656	23,401	18 621	560 638	465 522
2053	0	8 405	7 021	4 947	4 133	26 345	22,303	658 624	550 175	7,401	5 968	4 205	3 513	22,420	17 891	535 433	447 269
2055	0	8 027	6 746	4 725	3 971	25,040	21 144	629 013	528 603	6 823	5 734	4,200	3 375	20,454	17,001	511 361	429 731
2056	0	7 667	6 481	4 512	3 815	24 029	20,315	600 734	507 876	6,517	5 509	3 835	3 243	19 535	16 515	488 371	412 881
2057	0	7,322	6 227	4,309	3 665	27,929	19 518	573 726	487 962	6 224	5 293	3 663	3 115	18,657	15,868	466 415	396 692
2058	0	6 993	5 983	4 116	3 522	21 917	18,753	547 933	468 828	5 944	5 086	3 498	2 993	17 818	15 245	445 446	381 137
2059	0	6 678	5 749	3 931	3 383	20.932	18,018	523.299	450.445	5 677	4 886	3 341	2,876	17.017	14,648	425.419	366,193
2060		6.378	5.523	3.754	3.251	19.991	17.311	499.772	432.783	_5.421	4.695	3.191	2.763	16.252	14.073	406.293	351.834
2061	0	6.091	5.307	3.585		19.092	16.633	477.303	415.813	5.178	4.511	3.047	2.655	15.521	13.522	388.027	338.038
2062	_0	5.817	5.098	3.424	3.001	18.234	15.980	455.845	399.509	4.945	4.334	2.910	2.551	14.823	12.991	370.582	324.784
2063	_0	5.556	4.899	3.270	2.883	17.414	15.354	435.351	383.844	4.723	4.164	2.780	2.451	14.157	12.482	353.922	312.049
2064	0	5.306	4.707	3.123	2.770	16.631	14.752	415.778	368.793	4.510	4.001	2.655	2.355	13.520	11.993	338.010	299.813
2065	_0	5.068	4.522	2.983	2.662	15.883	14.173	397.086	354.333	4.307	3.844	2.535	2.262	12.913	11.522	322.814	288.057
2066	0	4.840	4.345	2,849	2.557	15,169	13,618	379,234	340,439	4,114	3,693	2,421	2,174	12,332	11.070	308,301	276,762
2067	0	4,622	4,174	2,721	2,457	14,487	13,084	362,184	327,090	3,929	3,548	2,312	2,088	11,778	10,636	294,440	265,910

	Page	13	of	14
--	------	----	----	----

Project Scenario #3 GHG Quantification Report for the SWLF Walker Environmental

	Tonnage	LFG Produ (Fig	uction (m³/hr) uure 1)	LFG Prod (Fig	uction (cfm) ure 1)		Annual Methane Production		LFG Reco (Fig	overy (m³/hr) jure 1)	LFG Reco (Fig	overy (cfm) ure 1)	A	Annual Methane	Offsets (Figur	e 2)	
Year	Annual					(tonnes	CH ₄ /year)	(tonnes	CO _{2e} /year)					(tonnes	CH₄/year)	(tonnes	CO _{2e} /year)
	Decomposable (tonnes)	Upper Limit Parameters	Lower Limit Parameters														
2068	0	4,414	4,011	2,598	2,361	13,836	12,571	345,901	314,265	3,752	3,409	2,208	2,006	11,248	10,219	281,203	255,484
2069	0	4,216	3,853	2,481	2,268	13,214	12,078	330,350	301,943	3,584	3,275	2,109	1,928	10,742	9,819	268,560	245,466
2070	0	4,026	3,702	2,370	2,179	12,620	11,604	315,498	290,103	3,422	3,147	2,014	1,852	10,259	9,434	256,486	235,841
2071	0	3,845	3,557	2,263	2,094	12,053	11,149	301,314	278,728	3,269	3,024	1,924	1,780	9,798	9,064	244,955	226,594
2072	0	3,672	3,418	2,162	2,012	11,511	10,712	287,767	267,799	3,122	2,905	1,837	1,710	9,358	8,708	233,943	217,709
2073	0	3,507	3,284	2,064	1,933	10,993	10,292	274,830	257,298	2,981	2,791	1,755	1,643	8,937	8,367	223,425	209,173
2074	0	3,350	3,155	1,972	1,857	10,499	9,888	262,474	247,210	2,847	2,682	1,676	1,578	8,535	8,039	213,380	200,971
2075	0	3,199	3,031	1,883	1,784	10,027	9,501	250,674	237,516	2,719	2,576	1,600	1,516	8,151	7,724	203,787	193,091
2076	0	3,055	2,912	1,798	1,714	9,576	9,128	239,404	228,203	2,597	2,475	1,529	1,457	7,785	7,421	194,625	185,519
2077	0	2,918	2,798	1,717	1,647	9,146	8,770	228,641	219,255	2,480	2,378	1,460	1,400	7,435	7,130	185,875	178,245
2078	0	2,787	2,688	1,640	1,582	8,734	8,426	218,362	210,658	2,369	2,285	1,394	1,345	7,101	6,850	177,519	171,256
2079	0	2,661	2,583	1,566	1,520	8,342	8,096	208,545	202,398	2,262	2,196	1,331	1,292	6,782	6,582	169,538	164,541
2080	0	2,542	2,482	1,496	1,461	7,967	7,778	199,169	194,462	2,161	2,109	1,272	1,242	6,477	6,324	161,916	158,089
2081	0	2,428	2,384	1,429	1,403	7,609	7,473	190,215	186,837	2,063	2,027	1,214	1,193	6,185	6,076	154,636	151,890
2082	0	2,318	2,291	1,365	1,348	7,267	7,180	181,663	179,511	1,971	1,947	1,160	1,146	5,907	5,837	147,684	145,935
2083	0	2,214	2,201	1,303	1,296	6,940	6,899	173,496	172,472	1,882	1,871	1,108	1,101	5,642	5,609	141,044	140,213
2084	0	2,115	2,115	1,245	1,245	6,628	6,628	165,696	165,710	1,797	1,798	1,058	1,058	5,388	5,389	134,703	134,715
2085	0	2,020	2,032	1,189	1,196	6,330	6,368	158,246	159,212	1,717	1,727	1,010	1,017	5,146	5,177	128,647	129,433
2086	0	1,929	1,952	1,135	1,149	6,045	6,119	151,132	152,969	1,639	1,659	965	977	4,915	4,974	122,864	124,357
2087	0	1,842	1,876	1,084	1,104	5,773	5,879	144,337	146,971	1,566	1,594	922	938	4,694	4,779	117,340	119,481
2088	0	1,759	1,802	1,035	1,061	5,514	5,648	137,848	141,208	1,495	1,532	880	902	4,483	4,592	112,065	114,796
2089	0	1,680	1,731	989	1,019	5,266	5,427	131,651	135,672	1,428	1,472	841	866	4,281	4,412	107,026	110,295
2090	0	1,605	1,664	944	979	5,029	5,214	125,732	130,352	1,364	1,414	803	832	4,089	4,239	102,215	105,970
2091	0	1,532	1,598	902	941	4,803	5,010	120,079	125,241	1,303	1,359	767	800	3,905	4,073	97,619	101,815
2092	0	1,464	1,536	861	904	4,587	4,813	114,681	120,330	1,244	1,305	732	768	3,729	3,913	93,231	97,823
2093	0	1,398	1,475	823	868	4,381	4,624	109,525	115,612	1,188	1,254	699	738	3,562	3,759	89,039	93,987
2094	0	1,335	1,418	786	834	4,184	4,443	104,601	111,078	1,135	1,205	668	709	3,401	3,612	85,036	90,302
2095	0	1,275	1,362	750	802	3,996	4,269	99,898	106,723	1,084	1,158	638	681	3,249	3,470	81,213	86,761
2096	0	1,218	1,309	717	770	3,816	4,102	95,407	102,538	1,035	1,112	609	655	3,102	3,334	77,562	83,359
2097	0	1,163	1,257	684	740	3,645	3,941	91,118	98,518	988	1,069	582	629	2,963	3,204	74,075	80,091
2098	0	1,111	1,208	654	711	3,481	3,786	87,021	94,655	944	1,027	556	604	2,830	3,078	70,745	76,950
2099	0	1,061	1,161	624	683	3,324	3,638	83,109	90,943	902	987	531	581	2,703	2,957	67,564	73,933
Total/Peak:	595,000	12,144	9,669	7,148	5,691	38,064	30,306	951,607	757,662	10,323	8,219	6,076	4,837	30,945	24,638	773,616	615,946

Notes:

Landfill Gas Collection System Efficiency= 0.85

Upper Limit Parameters: k= 0.046 year-1, Lo= 144 m³ methane/tonne of waste. Lower Limit Parameters: k= 0.04 year-1, Lo= 125 m³ methane/tonne of waste.



	Upper Limit	Lower Limit
	n	n3/hr
Max Recovered volume for Engine Capacity	10,32	3 8,219
Engine Capacity Factor	859	%
Engine Flow Capacity	8,774	4 6,986

Start YearEnd YearEngine at Max Capacity20422049



Table B.1 LFG Tool Inputs

Parameter				Reference		
		Baseline Scenario	Project Scenario #1	Project Scenario #2	Project Scenario #3	
Methane Production Rate (k; /year)	Lower	0.035		0.040		Baseline Scenario – Carbon Offset Emission Factors Handbook (Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development, 2015) with percent difference from project corroborated by CLEEN Model (Karanjekar, et al., 2015) Project Scenario - Interim Guide to Estimate and Assess Landfill Air Impacts (Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 1992), AP-42 Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Chapter 2.4 Municipal Solid Waste Landfills (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2008), LandGEM v3.02 (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2005), and Carbon Offset Emission Factors Handbook (Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development, 2015).
	Upper	0.040		0.046		Assumed 15% higher than lower k values
Methane Production Potential (L ₀ ; m ³ /tonne)	Lower		12	25		Interim Guide to Estimate and Assess Landfill Air Impacts (Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 1992)
	Upper		14	14		Moderate to High decomposable fraction - Landfill Gas Generation Assessment Procedure Guidelines,

Parameter		Scenari	o Value		Reference		
	Baseline Scenario	Project Scenario #1	Project Scenario #2	Project Scenario #3			
					Conestoga-Rovers & Associates, 2009		
Lag Time Before Start of Gas Production		2	Assumed				
Landfill Gas Collection System Efficiency (%)	53	85	85	85	Baseline Scenario – Landfill Methane Outreach Program (LMOP) – Landfill Technical Data, Environmental Protection Agency Project Scenarios – Walker		
Appliance Destruction Efficiency (fraction)	Internal Combustion Engine – 0.972 Enclosed Flare – 0.977	Enclosed Flare – 0.977	Internal Combustion Engine – 0.972	Boiler – 0.986	United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2008). Chapter 2.4 Municipal Solid Waste Landfills. In AP-42 Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors. United States Environmental Protection Agency. (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2008)		
Utilization Destruction Efficiency (%)		97	(United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2008)				
Flare Uptime (%)		9	7		Assumed		
Utilization Uptime (%)	85	0	85	97	Assumed		
Number of Production Years after Closure		3	0		Assumed		
Methane Content in LFG (%) (by volume)		5	0		Assumed		
Carbon Dioxide Content in LFG (%) (by volume)		4	Assumed				
Methane density (kg/m ³ at STP)		0.7		Assumed			
Carbon Dioxide Density (kg/m ³ at STP)		1.9	645		Assumed		

Parameter		Scenari	Reference		
	Baseline Scenario	Project Scenario #1	Project Scenario #2	Project Scenario #3	
Energy Value (btu/ft ³)		50		Assumed	

Table B.2 GHG Quantification Model Inputs

Parameter	Value	Reference					
Electricity Consumption Intensity	40 g CO ₂ /MWh (Ontario)	2018 Environment Canada National Inventory Report (1990 - 2016) - Part 3 - Table A13-7: Electricity Generation and GHG Emission Details for Ontario (Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2018)					
	1816.085 lb CO ₂ /MWh (Michigan)	eGRID Summary Tables (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2018)					
Natural Gas Combustion Emission	Factors						
CO ₂ (g/m ³)	1888	2018 Environment Canada National Inventory Report					
CH4 (g/m ³)	0.037	(1990 - 2016) - Part 3 - Tables A6-1 and A6-2: Emission					
N ₂ O (g/m ³)	0.033	(Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2018)					
Coal Combustion Emission Factor	S						
CO ₂ (kg/tonne)	1865	2018 Environment Canada National Inventory Report					
CH4 (g/kg)	0.03	(1990 - 2016) - Part 2 -					
N ₂ O (g/kg)	0.02	Table A6-8 and A6-10: Emission Factors for Coal (Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2018)					
Emissions from Heavy-duty Diese	Vehicles (HDDV	s) - Advanced Control					
CO ₂ (g/L fuel)	2681	2018 Environment Canada National Inventory Report					
CH4 (g/L fuel)	0.11	(1990 - 2016) - Part 2 -					
N ₂ O (g/L fuel)	0.151	Table A6-12: Emission Factors for Energy Mobile Combustion Sources					
Olahal Mamainan Datawijala		(Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2018)					
Global Warming Potentials	4						
	1	2018 Environment Canada National Inventory Report (1990 - 2016) - Part 1 -					
	25	Table 1-1: IPCC Global Warming Potentials (GWPs)					
N ₂ U	298	(Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2018)					
Other Inputs							
Efficiency of Diesel Long Haul Collection Vehicles (L/km)	0.55	Walker					
Kiln Uptime (%)	97	Walker					
Electricity Production Rate (scfm/MW)	350	Walker					
Engine Uptime (%)	85	Walker					
LFG Capacity Factor (%)	85	Walker					
Total distance from the Point of Origin to the Michigan landfills (round-trip) (km/trip)	764	Walker					
Total distance from the Point of Origin to the Southwestern Landfill (round-trip) (km/trip)	272	Walker					

Table B.2 GHG Quantification Model Inputs

Parameter	Value	Reference
Total Estimated Number of Trips Required to Transport Full Volume of Waste (trips/year)	26,565	Calculation
Annual Emissions from Landfill Operations (tCO ₂ e/year)	1,687.93	Walker
Electricity Consumption for Upgrading (kWh/m ³ biogas processed)	0.39	GHD internal data
Annual Metric Tons of Carbon Dioxide Emitted from a Typical Passenger Vehicle (metric tons CO ₂ /year)	4.6	U.S. EPA's Greenhouse Gas Emissions from a Typical Passenger Vehicle (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2018)
Methane Energy Content (MJ/m ³)	39.8	Assumed
Coal Energy Content (GJ/tonne)	19.15	Ontario of Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Guideline for Quantification, Reporting and Verification of Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, 2018)
Natural Gas Energy Content (GJ/m ³)	0.038	

1. References

- Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development. (2015). *Carbon Offset Emission Factors Handbook.* Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development.
- Conestoga Rovers & Associated. (2009). Landfill Gas Generation Assessment Procedure Guidelines https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/waste-management/garbage/lgassessment.pdf
- Environment and Climate Change Canada. (2018). *National Inventory Report 1990-2016: Greenhouse Gas Sources and Sinks in Canada.*
- Environment Canada. (n.d.). *Emissions Factors for Waste.* Retrieved from Environment Canada: http://www.ec.gc.ca/ges-ghg/default.asp?lang=En&n=AA11E35B-1
- Environmental Protection Agency. (2019). Landfill Methane Outreach Program (LMOP) Landfill Technical Data. https://www.epa.gov/lmop/landfill-technical-data
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2007). Chapter 2 Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and Radiative Forcing. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ar4-wg1-chapter2-1.pdf
- Karanjekar, R. V., Bhatt, A., Altouqui, S., Jangikhatoonabad, N., Durai, V., Sattler, M., . . . Chen, V. (2015). Estimating Methane Emissions from Landfills Based on Rainfall, Ambient Temperature, and Waste Composition: The CLEEN Model. *Journal of Waste Management*.
- Michigan Department of Environmental Quality. (2019). Report of Solid Waste Landfilled in Michigan, October 1, 2017 - September 30, 2018.
- Ontario Ministry of the Environment. (1992). *Interim Guide to Estimate and Assess Landfill Air Impacts.* Ontario Ministry of the Environment.
- Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change. (2018). Offset Initiative Protocols for Ontario's Cap and Trade Program Landfill Initiative Protocol.
- Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks. (2018). A Made-in-Ontario Environment Plan.
- Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks. (2018). *Guideline for Quantification, Reporting and Verification of Greenhouse Gas Emissions.*
- Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks. (2019). *Reducing Litter and Waste in Our Communities: Discussion Paper.*

- Ontario Provincial Government. (2019). Ontario Regulation 413/05 Vehicle Weights and Dimensions For Safe, Productive, and Infrastructure-Friendly Vehicles, Table 3. https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/050413
- Ontario Waste Management Association. (2018). State of Waste in Ontario: Landfill Report, 2nd Annual Landfill Report December 2018.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2005). Landfill Methane Outreach Program. Landfill Gas Emissions Model v3.02. United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2008). Chapter 2.4 Municipal Solid Waste Landfills. In *AP-42 Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors.* United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2018, February). *eGRID Summary Tables*. Retrieved from Energy and the Environment: https://www.epa.gov/energy/egrid-summary-tables
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2018). *Greenhouse Gas Emissions from a Typical* Passenger Vehicle. Retrieved from Green Vehicle Guide:

https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi?Dockey=P100U8YT.pdf